Section 1

Introduction

This section introduces the project proposed by Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd to increase production at its Narrabri Coal Mine through the introduction of longwall mining.

This section includes:

- an outline of the scope and format of the document;
- the details about the Proponent, Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd;
- the format of the Environmental Assessment;
- relevant background to the project including a review of the history of mining in the Gunnedah/Boggabri area and operations at the Narrabri Coal Mine to date;
- a discussion on the proposed approach towards environmental management and documentation; and
- identification of the personnel involved in the Longwall Project design, document preparation and specialist consultant investigations.
1.1 SCOPE

This *Environmental Assessment* has been prepared by R.W. Corkery & Co. Pty. Limited to support an application for project approval (application number MP08_0144) by Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd (“the Proponent”) to increase production at its Narrabri Coal Mine through the introduction of longwall mining (the “Longwall Project”). Progression to a longwall mining operation, from the approved continuous miner operations, has previously been identified as Stage 2 of the Narrabri Coal Mine. A copy of the application for project approval is included as Appendix 1.

The Longwall Project would require some minor additions and alteration to surface infrastructure, however, all surface and underground operations would remain within the boundary of Mining Lease (ML) 1609, referred to as the “Mine Site” throughout this document. *Figure 1.1* places the Mine Site in its regional setting in northern New South Wales, approximately 400km northwest of Newcastle. *Figure 1.2* provides the local setting of the Mine Site approximately 30km south-southeast of Narrabri and 10km north-northwest of Baan Baa.

For the purposes of this document, the Longwall Project described and assessed would involve:

i) the progression from underground mining by continuous miner to longwall mining methods;

ii) a range of modifications to the surface infrastructure of the mine;

iii) an increase in coal production from 2.5Mtpa to 8Mtpa which would continue to be despatched from the Mine Site via rail and involve:

- larger trains (with a capacity of 5 400t to 6 300t); and

- an average of five trains despatched daily; and

iv) final rehabilitation of the areas of surface disturbance within the Mine Site following completion of the Longwall Project.

The Longwall Project is classified as a Major Project in accordance with the State Environmental Planning Policy (Major Development) 2005 and, consequently, the Minister for Planning is the approval authority and an *Environmental Assessment* is required to be submitted to support the major project application. The application is made possible by virtue of the fact that coal mining is a permissible land use within the Mine Site, i.e. under Clause 9 of the Narrabri LEP 1992 which promotes the proper management and utilisation of coal resources.

This document provides information covering all ongoing activities approved as Stage 1, together with the Longwall Project referred to Stage 2 as the Narrabri Coal Mine. All components of Stage 1 and Stage 2 are planned to be operated as a single coordinated project in accordance with a consolidated project approval. It is noted that some Stage 2 components are intended to commence prior to other Stage 2 components (see Section 2.1.2). Consequently, the Proponent is applying for a modification of the Stage 1 Project Approval to enable construction of these specific components to commence in early 2010.
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LOCAL SETTING AND LOCAL COAL MINING PROJECTS
The information presented in this document covers all aspects of the planning, development, operation, rehabilitation and environmental management and monitoring of the Longwall Project at a level of detail consistent with industry standards, the scale of the proposed operations and the potential for environmental impact. These aspects are presented in a manner that addresses the specific requirements of the Director-General of the Department of Planning (DoP) and other State and local government agencies, together with those issues raised during the community consultation process. The Director-General’s Requirements and summarised requirements of all government agencies are presented in Appendix 2 together with a record of where each requirement is addressed in this document.

1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORMAT

The Environmental Assessment includes six sections of text, a reference section, glossary and a set of appendices. The information presented in this document covers all aspects of the planning, development, operation, rehabilitation and environmental monitoring of the Longwall Project at a level of detail reflecting the environmental risk posed by each issue. The issues and their relevant importance to the assessment of the Longwall Project have been identified through consultation with government agencies, the local communities, stakeholders, surrounding landowners and specialist consultant assessments.

The format of the Environmental Assessment is as follows.

Section 1: introduces the Longwall Project, the Proponent and the Mine Site. Background information is provided to the Longwall Project including information on the existing approved and ongoing operations of the Stage 1 Narrabri Coal Mine. The section incorporates an overview of the status of the Stage 1 operations together with the environmental management, documentation and performance since the construction of the Narrabri Coal Mine commenced. The section concludes with information on the management of investigations for the Environmental Assessment.

Section 2: describes the Proponent’s objectives and proposed longwall mining, coal processing, transportation, waste management and rehabilitation activities. The project description focuses on the proposed longwall mining operations and the proposed modifications / additions to the currently approved surface infrastructure.

Section 3: provides a description of the process used to identify and prioritise the key issues for assessment with reference to the Director-General’s Requirements for the Longwall Project, stakeholder consultation through the project planning stages and a general environmental risk analysis undertaken to establish the specific environmental risk(s) posed by the issues identified.

Section 4: commences by describing the setting of the Mine Site with reference to aspects of the local environment likely to influence the level of impact on other environmental aspects. The section then presents a description of a range of environmental features of the local environment that may or would be influenced by the Longwall Project, i.e. the key environmental issues. The order of presentation and level of detail reflects the level of priority attributed to each key issue. The design and operational safeguards, and where appropriate, the management procedures that have been incorporated into the Longwall Project
design to protect the local environment, are also presented. This section also analyses the potential impact the Longwall Project would have on the physical, biological and social environment once the safeguards and procedures are adopted.

Section 5: provides a draft statement of commitments the Proponent is prepared to implement with respect to environmental management and monitoring for the Longwall Project.

Section 6: provides a conclusion to the document which justifies the Longwall Project in terms of biophysical, economic and social considerations and records the consequences of not proceeding with the Longwall Project.

Section 7: lists the various source documents referred to for information and data used during the preparation of the Environmental Assessment.

Section 8: presents a glossary of acronyms, symbols and units and technical terms, used throughout the Environmental Assessment.

Appendices: present the following additional information.

1. A copy of the Proponent’s major project application.
2. An itemised and tabulated summary of the Director-General’s Requirements, including the requirements provided by the various government agencies consulted, and reference to the section within the Environmental Assessment or Specialist Consultant Studies Compendium where each is addressed.
3. A SEPP Risk 33 Screening.
4. Correspondence to and from Gunnedah Shire Council regarding the additional rail movements through Gunnedah.

A two volume Specialist Consultant Studies Compendium has been placed on exhibition with the Environmental Assessment. The contents of these reports are summarised into the appropriate section(s) of the Environmental Assessment. A full copy of the compendium is included on the CD compiled for the Longwall Project. The Project CD is available free of charge and available from the Department of Planning, the Proponent or R.W. Corkery & Co. Pty Limited (see inside front cover for contact details).

## 1.3 THE PROPONENT, APPLICATION AREAS AND LONGWALL PROJECT TERMINOLOGY

### 1.3.1 The Proponent

Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd is a joint venture between Narrabri Coal Pty Ltd (77.5%), Upper Horn Investments (Australia) Pty Ltd (7.5%), J-Power (7.5%), Daewoo (5%) and Kores (2.5%). Narrabri Coal Pty Ltd is a 100% subsidiary company of Whitehaven Coal Limited (WCL), a publicly listed Company with several mining interests in the Gunnedah-Narrabri region of NSW.

The directors of Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd have considerable coal mining experience in the Gunnedah Basin, particularly since 1999 when WCL became actively involved in coal mining (see Section 1.4.2).
Figure 1.2 displays the various coal mining projects WCL is currently involved in throughout the Gunnedah Basin.

1.3.2 The Mine Site

The Mine Site is depicted on Figure 1.3 and encompasses all proposed surface infrastructure, and the boundaries of the underground mining area. Table 1.1 lists the individual lots within the area covered by the Mine Site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Surface Activities</th>
<th>Land Title Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Top Area</td>
<td>Lot 60 DP 757124, Part Lots 151 &amp; 152 DP 816020, Part Lot 115 DP 757124, Lots 381 &amp; 382 DP 1028753.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Area (underground)</td>
<td>Part Lots 57, 58, 63 to 65, 81 to 84 &amp; 115 DP 757114, Lot 61 DP 757124, Part Lot 1 DP 811171, Lot 2 DP 811171, Part Lots 3, 8, 25, 67 &amp; 68 DP 757104, Lot 7 DP 757104, Part Lot 152 DP 816020, Lot 1 DP 659899, Part Lot 3 DP 1005608, Part Pilliga East State Forest and various Crown roads.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Mine Site boundary is coincident with ML 1609, with the eastern boundary located immediately west of the North Western Branch Railway and the southern boundary cut back towards the edge of the coal resource.

1.3.3 Namoi River Pipeline Route

The Proponent proposes to construct and use a pipeline between the Mine Site and the Namoi River. The route for the pipeline commences within the Mine Site and then traverses a Crown road adjacent to the North Western Branch Railway Line until it needs to traverse eastwards through the railway line easement (underbored) and the Kamilaroi Highway (also underbored) and finally within the easement for the Old Narrabri Road, a Road Reserve (R28757), Travelling Stock Reserve (Lot 7004 DP 757124) and Lot 89 DP 757124.

1.3.4 Longwall Project Terminology

Figure 1.3 also displays the following Longwall Project component areas that are regularly referred to throughout this document. Each of these component areas are described as follows.

Mine Site (ML 1609): The area relevant to the application for project approval.
(5 210ha)

Mining Area: Area bound by the indicative limit of underground workings.
(3 630ha)
REFERENCE
Mine Site Boundary
Pit Top Area Boundary
Mining Area (Underground)
Rail Loop
Property Owned by Proponent
Privately Owned Land
Crown Land
Crown Road
Council Road
Application Lodged for Road Closure

Notes: 1. Figure 4A provides more detailed information on land ownership.
2. Under the control of Narrabri Shire Council.
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Figure 1.3
THE MINE SITE
Pit Top Area: Main area of surface disturbance incorporating the box cut, mine portals, surface buildings, rail loop, ROM and product coal stockpile areas, water storage and evaporation dams, longwall unit assembly area, Reject Emplacement Area and Brine Storage Area (see Figure 2.12).

A glossary of all other mining and environment-related terminology, is presented in Section 8.

1.4 LONGWALL PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.4.1 Introduction

Coal mining has been undertaken in the Gunnedah Basin for over 120 years, principally in the southern part of the basin, with WCL and its subsidiary companies actively involved in the region since 1999. The Narrabri Coal Mine represents the commencement of coal mining in the northern part of the Gunnedah Basin. Section 1.4.2 provides a brief overview of the other operational, approved and proposed coal mines within the Gunnedah/Boggabri area.

Section 1.4.3 provides an overview of the status of the Stage 1 operations together with the environmental management, documentation and performance since the construction of the mine commenced.

It is noted that when proposed as a staged mining operation, the Proponent indicated that progression to the second stage of the Narrabri Coal Mine would occur following several years of operations of the Stage 1 continuous miner operation. Section 1.4.3 presents a summary of the studies, assessments and monitoring that has been undertaken since the application for Stage 1 of the Narrabri Coal Mine was lodged with the Department of Planning that has resulted in the accelerated progression to the Stage 2 Longwall Project.

1.4.2 Coal Mining in the Gunnedah Basin

There are a number of operating coal mines in the Gunnedah Basin, as well as a number of coal projects that have been approved and yet to be commenced, other coal deposits and a number of closed mines, most of which are depicted on Figure 1.2. A number of the operational and proposed projects are owned and managed by WCL, their subsidiary companies or in joint venture with other companies and are as follows.

Operating and Producing Coal

- Werris Creek Coal Mine owned by Werris Creek Coal Pty Ltd, a subsidiary company of WCL (operating since 2005).
- Tarrawonga Coal Mine owned by Tarrawonga Coal Pty Ltd, a joint venture between WCL and Idemitsu Boggabri Coal Pty Ltd (IBC) (operating since 2006).
- Boggabri Coal Project owned by IBC, the joint venture partner of WCL for the Tarrawonga Coal Mine (operating since 2006).
- Roeglen (formerly known as Belmont) Coal Mine owned by WCL (operating since 2008).
Sunnyside Coal Mine owned by Namoi Mining Pty Ltd, a subsidiary company of WCL (operating since 2008).

Whitehaven Coal Handling and Preparation Plant (CHPP) and Rail Load-out Facility owned by WCL. The CHPP currently processes coal from the Canyon, Tarrawonga, Roeglen and Sunnyside Coal Mines. The bulk of the processed coal and the coal not requiring processing is despatched via rail from the rail load-out facility.

Identified Coal Resource – Project Approved but not yet commenced
- Maules Creek Coal Project proposed by Rio Tinto Pty Ltd.

Closed / Inactive Coal Mines
- Canyon Coal Mine owned by WCL (recently closed – operated 1999 to 2008).
- The former Gunnedah Colliery now owned by WCL.
- Preston Colliery formerly operated by Centennial Coal Limited.
- Vickery Coal Mine formerly owned by Nova Coal Pty Ltd.
- Blue Vale Coal Mine, owned and partially developed by Rio Tinto Pty Ltd.

Identified Coal Resources – Project Approval not yet applied for
- Caroona Coal Project proposed by Coal Mines Australia Limited.
- Watermark Project by She-hua Watermark Coal Pty Limited.

WCL has secured long-term markets for the coal produced from the Gunnedah Basin and intends to increase production to expand these markets principally in Japan and other Asian countries. The low ash and low sulfur content of the coal provides further potential for the coal to be used in blends with other coals, eg. for use in steel mills, power utilities, and general industrial markets. In particular, the low sulfur content of the coal is attractive for blending to enable higher sulfur coals to meet strict environmental standards.

The conversion of the Narrabri Coal Mine to a longwall mining operation will assist to maintain and expand the markets already secured by the Proponent through the supply of high quality Gunnedah Basin coal.

1.4.3 Stage 1 Operations
1.4.3.1 Existing Approvals and Licences

Project Approval
Project Approval (PA) 05_0102 for the Stage 1 Narrabri Coal Mine was granted by the Minister for Planning on 13 November 2007 in accordance with Part 3A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. PA 05_0102 approves the mining and rail transportation of up to 2.5 million tonnes of run-of-mine coal per year for a period of 21 years from the granting of a mining lease. It was intended that Stage 1 coal recovery is achieved solely through the use of continuous miners.
Mining Lease
Mining Lease (ML) 1609 was granted to Narrabri Coal Pty Ltd by the Minister for Mineral Resources on 18 January 2008 in accordance with the provisions of the Mining Act, 1992. The boundaries of ML 1609 have been defined by survey and are included on mine plans incorporated within the Mining Operations Plan for the mining lease. Notably, the boundary of ML 1609 coincides with the nominated Mine Site for the Longwall Project.

Environment Protection Licence
Environment Protection Licence (EPL) 12789 was granted to Narrabri Coal Pty Ltd on 20 February 2008 by the then Department of Environment and Climate Change (DECC). The licence was issued in accordance with the provisions of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act, 1997 for the scheduled activities of coal mining and coal works. EPL 12789 defines monitoring points and concentration thresholds applicable to activities on the Mine Site.

EPL 12789 is due for statutory review on 20 February 2013.

Water Licences
The Proponent has established 20 monitoring piezometers within and surrounding the Mine Site for the purpose of monitoring groundwater levels and quality (see Figure 1.4). The licence number and locations for each of these piezometers is presented in Table 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Licence No.</th>
<th>Geological Formation</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>90BL254481</td>
<td>Garrawilla</td>
<td>“Longsight”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>90BL254482</td>
<td>Napperby</td>
<td>“Mayfield”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>90BL254483</td>
<td>Pamboola</td>
<td>“Bow Hills”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>90BL254484</td>
<td>Napperby</td>
<td>“Darjeeling”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>90BL254485</td>
<td>Pamboola</td>
<td>Old Narrabri Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>90BL254486</td>
<td>Pilliga</td>
<td>“Moon Hill”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P7</td>
<td>90BL254487</td>
<td>Pilliga</td>
<td>Scratch Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P8</td>
<td>90BL254663</td>
<td>Purlawaugh</td>
<td>Scratch Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P9</td>
<td>90BL254958</td>
<td>Purlawaugh</td>
<td>Claremont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>90BL254658</td>
<td>Napperby (No sill)</td>
<td>“Mayfield”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>90BL254659</td>
<td>Napperby (No sill)</td>
<td>“Mayfield”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12</td>
<td>90BL254659</td>
<td>Napperby (Above sill)</td>
<td>“Claremont”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>90BL254660</td>
<td>Garrawilla</td>
<td>“Claremont”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>90BL254661</td>
<td>Napperby (Above sill)</td>
<td>“Omeo”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>90BL254661</td>
<td>Garrawilla</td>
<td>“Omeo”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P16</td>
<td>90BL254660</td>
<td>Garrawilla</td>
<td>Scratch Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17</td>
<td>90BL254662</td>
<td>Purlawaugh</td>
<td>Scratch Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18</td>
<td>90BL254662</td>
<td>Hoskissons Coal Seam</td>
<td>“Willara”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19</td>
<td>90BL254663</td>
<td>Pamboola</td>
<td>“Willara”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20</td>
<td>90BL254664</td>
<td>Arkarula</td>
<td>“Willara”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd
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EXISTING MINE SITE
In accordance with the requirements of the *Water Management Act 2000*, which requires any access to water within a groundwater source included within a Water Sharing Plan to be licensed, the Proponent holds Water Access Licence (WAL) AL811436, with an annual entitlement of 248ML from the Intake Beds of the Great Artesian Basin groundwater source.

The Proponent also holds an Aquifer Interference Licence (No 90BL254679) for 818ML for recovery of groundwater from Gunnedah Basin aquifers.

1.4.3.2 Status of Existing Operations

Pit Top Area

Following the receipt of PA 05_0102 in November 2007, ML 1609 in January 2008, EPL 12789 in February 2008 and the preparation of a number of environmental management plans to the satisfaction of the Director-General for the Department of Planning, site works on the Pit Top Area commenced on 7 April 2008. Figure 1.5 provides an aerial photograph (dated 19 November 2008) identifying the various completed components.

Completed Components

The Stage 1 components of the Narrabri Coal Mine completed to date include the following.

- Mine access road.
- Main office, administration area and light vehicle car park.
- Electrical sub-station.
- Equipment laydown area.
- ROM coal and product coal pad hard stands.
- Box cut and mine portals.
- Amenity bund.
- Rail loop.
- Water storage and evaporation dams (lined).
- Explosives magazine.

Each of these components would be operated throughout the life of the Narrabri Coal Mine with their operation integral to the increased production achieved through the Longwall Project.

Outstanding Components

At the time of completion of the *Environmental Assessment* for adequacy assessment, the following components, and estimated date of completion, remained outstanding to complete Stage 1 of the Narrabri Coal Mine.

- Construction of the coal crushing station – October 2009.
- Completion of the mine drifts to pit bottom – November 2009.
- Installation of the drift conveyor system – November 2009.
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• Construction of conveyor system on the coal pads – November 2009.
• Installation of the train load-out bin and train loader – November 2009.
• Commencement of coal production and first despatch from the Mine Site by rail – January 2010.

These components would also be integral to long term operations of the Narrabri Coal Mine.

1.4.3.3 Environmental Management, Documentation and Performance

1.4.3.3.1 Environmental Management and Objectives

On-going environmental management at the Narrabri Coal Mine, including the Proponent’s performance with respect to this document and the implementation of any lease, licence or project approval conditions, is the responsibility of the General Manager of Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd. The Mine Manager is responsible for the integrated implementation of all environmental safeguards identified in the approved Mining Operations Plan and additional documentation developed throughout the life of the mine. The Group Environmental Manager coordinates the day to day environmental activities on the mine site in conjunction with environmental and field staff within the WCL Technical Services Team. The environmental and field support staff report to the Mine Manager, and the Group Environmental Manager (whose responsibilities include managing the compliance of the Narrabri Coal Mine with the environmental conditions of the project approval and other licences and leases). Assistance is provided by specialist consultants, as and when required.

The Proponent is committed to continuing the development and operation of all components of the Narrabri Coal Mine in a responsible and pro-active manner which:

i) adheres to all conditions of PA 05_0102, ML 1609 and EPL 12789;
ii) enables the co-existence of the various land uses in the area;
iii) is environmentally and socially responsible; and
iv) minimises any real or perceived impacts on other members of the community.

1.4.3.3.2 Environmental Documentation

Successful environmental management invariably involves regular, organised documentation to ensure that, irrespective of personnel changes, all aspects of planning, environmental control, monitoring and responses to problems are properly recorded.

The Proponent is committed to the Mining, Rehabilitation and Environmental Management Process (MREMP) managed by the Department of Industry and Investment-Mineral Resources (DII-MR) with input from other relevant government agencies. This process involves the preparation of the following documentation.

• Mining Operations Plan (MOP) – prepared to provide more detailed mining design and operational information for the mine and surface activities. The MOP for the Stage 1 Narrabri Coal Mine was finalised on 31 January 2009 and approved by the DPI-MR on 8 February 2008. An amendment to the MOP relating to additional topsoil storage areas was approved on 21 May 2008.
• Annual Environmental Management Report (AEMR) – prepared to record operational progress and all relevant environmental issues on an annual basis. The first AEMR was submitted to the Departments of Planning, Environment and Climate Change, Water and Energy and Primary Industries and Narrabri Shire Council in May 2009.

In accordance with various conditions of PA 05_0102, the Proponent operates the Narrabri Coal Mine in accordance with a number of environmental management plans, strategies and monitoring programs. Table 1.3 summarises the documentation, date of approval and condition against which the documentation has been prepared for Stage 1 of the Narrabri Coal Mine.

Table 1.3
Environmental Documentation for Stage 1 of the Narrabri Coal Mine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interim Surface Water Management Plan</td>
<td>Feb 2008</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Conditions 3(8) to 3(10) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Monitoring Program</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(14) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast Monitoring Program</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(22) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality Monitoring Program</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(24) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(33) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Savings Action Plan</td>
<td>Oct 2008</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(38) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Management Plan</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 3(41) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management Strategy</td>
<td>Dec 2007</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 4(1) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Monitoring Program</td>
<td>Jan 2009*</td>
<td>Prepared in compliance with Condition 4(2) of PA 05_0102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note *: Lodged with the Department of Planning in January 2009

Source: Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd

The Proponent has also prepared a Construction Phase or Interim Water Management Plan, to account for the requirements of Condition 3(3) and 3(7) of PA 05_0102 as they relate to site establishment. This document was approved in February 2008. The Water Management Plan is currently being modified to account for the commencement of production late in 2009.

A Landscape Management Plan, incorporating a Rehabilitation Management Plan and Mine Closure Plan in accordance with Condition 3(29) of PA 05_0102, is currently being prepared and is expected to be completed in August 2009. A Greenhouse Gas Minimisation Plan is also still to be prepared for the Narrabri Coal Mine and is due for completion by October 2009 (prior to the commencement of production).

1.4.3.3.3 Environmental Performance and Monitoring

Since the commencement of activities on ML 1609, the Proponent has monitored noise, vibration, air quality, groundwater and surface water to determine compliance with the conditions of PA 05_0102 and EPL 12789. Figure 1.4 identifies the locations of all environmental monitoring undertaken on and adjacent to the Mine Site. The environmental performance of the Stage 1 operations to date can therefore be assessed using the completed monitoring data and a review of cultural heritage management and complaints received from the general public.
Noise Monitoring

Condition 3(12) of PA 05_0102 states:

“The Proponent shall ensure that the noise generated by the project does not exceed the levels set out in Table 1 at any privately-owned residence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Day LAeq(15 minute)</th>
<th>Evening LAeq(15 minute)</th>
<th>Night LAeq(15 minute)</th>
<th>Night LA1(1 minute)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All privately owned residences</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Impact assessment criteria dB(A).

In addition to monitoring undertaken on construction equipment (prior to entry onto ML 1609) to ensure compliance with sound power levels defined in the Noise Monitoring Program for the Stage 1 operations, and in response to a complaint from an adjacent property owner (“Kurrajong”), an initial construction noise monitoring event was undertaken on 15 May 2008 by acoustic consultants, Spectrum Acoustics. Monitoring on this occasion measured the LAeq(15 minute) noise level (at the boundary of the “Claremont” and “Kurrajong”) to be 26dB(A), well below the noise criterion for construction noise.

Further construction noise monitoring was undertaken on 26 June 2008, this time including all nominated receiver locations as specified in the Noise Monitoring Program. This monitoring identified a 1 decibel (dB) exceedance at “Westhaven” (monitoring location N2), a 3dB exceedance at “Greylands” (monitoring location N4) and 13dB exceedance at “Kurrajong” (monitoring location N5) due to the effects of an inversion condition much stronger than originally assumed in the noise assessment prepared for the Stage 1 operations. Notably, noise measurements taken following the completion of the inversion event were up to 18dB less than those measured whilst the inversion conditions prevailed and complied with the requirements of Condition 3(12).

Following the receipt of results from this monitoring event, the frequency of monitoring at the “Kurrajong” monitoring point was increased in an effort to further assess the extent of inversion impacts early of a morning. The effects of the much stronger than anticipated inversion event were confirmed during monitoring on 11 July 2008, after which the Proponent modified its start-up sequence to reduce noise-related impacts at the “Kurrajong” residence during early morning inversion events.

Whilst the noise monitoring program has identified noise levels in excess of the criteria of Condition 3(12) of PA 05_0102, the following is noted.

- The atmospheric conditions present at the time of monitoring, with inversion strengths of up to 6º/100m, has resulted in the monitoring being outside the relevant meteorological conditions nominated by the NSW Industrial Noise Policy. On this basis, the monitoring results cannot be classified as an exceedance of PA 05_0102 criteria.

---

1 The property owner has denied access to the “Kurrajong” property as such all noise monitoring in relation to the “Kurrajong” property has been undertaken from the boundary of the “Claremont” and “Kurrajong” properties.
These increased strength inversion events are expected to be of short term duration during the winter period. Notably, most surface construction activities on the Mine Site have now been completed, reducing the potential for higher levels of noise generation.

Notwithstanding the two points above, the Proponent has modified its operations to reduce the noise levels received at the residences surrounding the Mine Site and increased the frequency of monitoring to better understand the impacts of local meteorological conditions of noise propagation.

Air Quality Monitoring

Deposited dust levels have been recorded at eight monitoring locations around the Mine Site since January 2006. Considering only the monitoring results recorded since the commencement of the Narrabri Coal Mine, and excluding those results which are likely to be erroneous, the monitoring results demonstrate that dust deposition criteria for the Stage 1 operations have been met with the monthly average not exceeding 3.7g/m²/month at any non-project residence surrounding the Pit Top Area. Table 1.4 provides a summary of the results of deposited dust monitoring completed for the Stage 1 Narrabri Coal Mine since the commencement of operations in April 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Location²</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>ND1</th>
<th>ND2</th>
<th>ND3</th>
<th>ND4</th>
<th>ND5</th>
<th>ND6</th>
<th>ND7</th>
<th>ND8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April 08</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 08</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June 08</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July 08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>August 08</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September 08</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October 08</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November 08</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 08</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>January 09</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February 09</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March 09</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: maintained since Stage 1 construction activities commenced
Note 2: see Figure 1.4

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀) monitoring has been undertaken from High Volume Air Samplers located adjacent to the “Turrabaa” (ND1) and “Claremont” (ND8) residences. A single exceedance of the 24 hour PM₁₀ criteria of 50µg/m³ was recorded at ND8. The annual average concentration at both locations, however, is well below the criterion of 30µg/m³. Table 1.5 provides a summary of the results of PM₁₀ monitoring completed for the Stage 1 Narrabri Coal Mine since the commencement of operations in April 2008.
The air quality monitoring results have illustrated the effectiveness of the dust suppression techniques used on the Mine Site.

**Surface Water Monitoring**

Since the commencement of construction activities for Stage 1, there have been no discharge events from the Pit Top Area and consequently no monitoring of water against discharge limit criteria of EPL 12789.

The drainage lines which run through ML 1609, namely Kurrajong and Pine Creeks and their tributaries, are ephemeral and only flow during and immediately following significant rainfall events. The opportunity to sample water from these drainage lines has only occurred on four occasions, once in August 2006 prior any construction activities within the Pit Top Area and then in September 2008, December 2008 and February 2009 whilst construction activities were ongoing on the Pit Top Area. **Table 1.6** provides a summary of the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) measured at the surface water monitoring sites on each occasion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Location¹</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Monitoring Location¹</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KCUS</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCDS</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC1US</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC1DS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC2US</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC2DS</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PM₁₀ Monitoring Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Suspended Solids Monitoring Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Location¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC1US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC1DS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC2US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC2DS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** see Figure 1.4

**Source:** Narrabri Coal Operations Pty Ltd
Analysis of the results presented in Table 1.6 indicates that the TSS level at the downstream monitoring locations has been elevated above that at the corresponding upstream monitoring location. Whilst this may be due to factors external to the construction activities on the Mine Site, it suggests that managing surface water flows on the Mine Site is likely to be an ongoing issue for the Longwall Project. Once construction is complete and the Landscape Management Plan fully implemented, erosion issues would be minimised throughout the ongoing operation of the mine.

Based on the limited monitoring undertaken to date, surface water management on the Mine Site requires further assessment and potentially additional controls.

**Groundwater Monitoring**

The results of groundwater quality monitoring at the 20 piezometers on and surrounding the Mine Site indicates the box cut and drift development is having no impact on local groundwater levels.

Water quality and standing water level (SWL) monitoring will continue over the life of the mine in accordance with an approved environmental monitoring program, however, at this time the Stage 1 operations are not having any noticeable impact on groundwater quality or SWL.

**Blast Monitoring**

A total of four surface blasts were initiated within the Pit Top Area for the development of the box cut and rail loop. All blasts remained well within compliance parameters with the highest air overpressure recorded being 113.3dBL and highest ground vibration being 1.44mm/s. No further surface blasting is required for the development of the Narrabri Coal Mine although some underground blasting is required during the current drift excavation and may be required when constructing the bores for the ventilation shafts, particularly when traversing through the basalt sill (at least 100m below ground level).

**1.4.3.3.4 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management**

Throughout the construction phase of the development, all soil stripping activity was undertaken in conjunction with an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan (ACHMP), prepared in conjunction with the Narrabri Gomeroi Traditional Owner Group, and supported by the Narrabri Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC). Aboriginal Site Monitors were present to observe all soil stripping activity and monitor for any Aboriginal artefacts. No additional artefacts to those identified prior to construction were found throughout the site establishment and construction period. Those sites previously identified were appropriately signed and fenced, in accordance with the ACHMP, to avoid disturbance.

**1.4.3.3.5 Complaints Management**

Since the commencement of operations, the Proponent has received a total of 11 complaints. Ten of these complaints have been received from the owner of the “Kurrajong” property, with nine of these in relation to noise levels. As noted in Section 1.4.3.3.3, elevated noise levels during the early morning have occurred as a result of the local inversion condition being more severe than predicted as part of noise modelling incorporated in the Stage 1 Environmental Assessment. Actions have been taken by the Proponent to address this issue, including
modifying the equipment start-up sequence and monitoring on a more frequent basis to confirm compliance with noise criteria. Monitoring has since reverted back to quarterly on the basis of ongoing compliance with noise levels since October 2008.

Subsequent to the implementation of these actions, further noise-related complaints have been received from the owner of the “Kurrajong” property. The Proponent has liaised with the Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water and the Department of Planning in relation to these noise complaints and possible actions that could be taken. Notably, the Proponent installed and monitored from a “Barn Owl” real time and directional noise monitoring station over the period May-June 2009 to identify whether noise levels from the Pit Top Area of the Mine Site were exceeding the nominated noise criteria. The results of the “Barn Owl” monitoring has indicated noise levels at the “Kurrajong” residence would have been within compliance limits throughout the monitoring period. A formal report on the Barn Owl results has been provided to the Department of Planning and Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water.

A further complaint was received in relation to ARTC vehicles parked adjacent to the rail line obscuring views of the line from the crossing. Upon receipt of the complaint, the subject vehicles were moved accordingly to ensure sufficient line of sight to the rail line. Procedures were adopted to avoid a recurrence of this incident.

The response(s) to complaints received by the Proponent illustrates environmental management and performance on the Mine Site is generally very good.

1.4.4 Background to the Accelerated Progression to Stage 2

Following the submission of the application for project approval and the accompanying Environmental Assessment for Stage 1 (RWC, 2007), NCOPL continued its geological exploration and technical studies to evaluate the feasibility of converting the continuous miner operation to a longwall mining operation. The Proponent’s intent to pursue this evaluation was outlined in the Environmental Assessment for the Stage 1 project where it was noted that the required studies would be completed over a period of 2 to 3 years. Since the initial submission of the Stage 1 Environmental Assessment for adequacy assessment (in July 2006), a range of geological exploration and related technical studies have been completed, all of which support, albeit with a range of design and operational requirements, the development of a longwall mining operation. The principal studies relied upon to date in the technical evaluation of the proposed longwall mining operation are as follows.

- Belford Dome Resources – Geological Report. This initial geological investigation provided a general understanding of the geological environment existing within the Mine Site and provided baseline information for subsequent resource reports and other aspects of mine design.

- SRK Consulting (Australasia) Pty Ltd – JORC Assessment of Coal Resources. This assessment compiled the information available from exploration on the coal resources of the Hoskissons Seam available for exploitation within ML 1609. Concentrating on the geological and quality aspects of the deposit, the assessment provided information on the resource required for use in detailed mine planning.
- SRK Consulting (Australasia) Pty Ltd – aeromagnetic survey of coal seam floor contours. The report provided information on a technique used to determine the potential presence of igneous and other features that may otherwise not be detected by conventional drilling means. Such features, if present, would severely limit or prevent the use of longwall mining equipment. As a result, greater confidence can be placed on the geological reports prepared for the resource, which in turn impacts on mine design.

- Mining Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd – Geotechnical Assessment. An assessment of the geotechnical environment and its impacts on the ground support requirements. This report also provided an assessment of the roadway and pillar design aspects to ensure the safety and stability of the mine openings.

- SMG – Longwall Mine Scheduling Assessment. Preliminary mine design and schedule based on the available information on geology, ground stability and the coal resource.

- Geogas Pty Ltd – Gas and Ventilation Assessment. This study was completed to provide information on the gas composition and quantity within the mining area. This information has been used to design the mine ventilation and other gas related requirements, eg. drilling and gas drainage of the seam, to ensure that the mine can operate in a safe and efficient manner.

- Dr Basil Beamish – Spontaneous Combustion Assessment. This report provided an analysis of the spontaneous combustion potential of the coal to be mined. This information on spontaneous combustion potential has subsequently been used in the design of the mine and associated ventilation systems to minimize the risk of a spontaneous combustion event.

- Roy Moreby – Mine Ventilation and Gas Drainage Assessment and Recommendations. With reference to the information obtained on mine gas, spontaneous combustion and preliminary mine design, this report provided recommendations for mine gas drainage and ventilation to ensure a safe environment is maintained for the life of the mine.

- Palaris Mining – Longwall Mine Design and Schedule. This report incorporated the information on geology, the coal resource, ground stability, gas composition and spontaneous combustion to provide an optimised mine design and schedule.

- Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd – Mine Subsidence Assessment. With reference to the information compiled on geology and ground stability, and the mine design and schedule provided by Palaris Mining, this report provided an assessment of the impacts of proposed coal extraction on the geological strata above the Hoskissons Seam and at surface. This report is included as Part I of the Specialist Consultant Studies Compendium accompanying the Environmental Assessment.

- Aquterra Consulting Pty Ltd – Groundwater Assessment. Incorporating a review of geological exploration data, targeted groundwater monitoring and investigations, local groundwater data and local expertise, an upgraded
hydrogeological model was established for the Mine Site and surrounds. The mine design and schedule were then modelled, incorporating information on subsidence impacts on geological strata supplied by DGS (2009), to determine the likely in-flow of groundwater to the mine and therefore dewatering requirements to ensure the operation of a safe mine. This report is included as Part 2 of the Specialist Consultant Studies Compendium accompanying the Environmental Assessment.

Based on the completion of these studies, the Proponent has obtained a sufficient level of confidence in local geology, ground stability, gas content, spontaneous combustion potential, subsidence and mine in-flow data to enable the completion of mine planning for the proposed Longwall Project. The hydrogeological component and a more detailed understanding of mine in-flows was originally considered to be a significant issue in the design of the longwall mining operation that would require substantial data from the Stage 1 mine workings to accurately predict mine in-flows for Stage 2. However, monitoring of inflows during Stage 1 would be of only limited value, as it would only provide information on inflows under conditions where no significant subsidence occurs. The absence of data under subsidence conditions would not provide any information on the hydrogeological behaviour of the strata in the subsidence zone above extracted panels. This can only be obtained once longwall extraction commences.

The upgraded groundwater model has been calibrated against considerably more groundwater monitoring data than was available during the studies for the Stage 1 Environmental Assessment, including more than a year of monthly monitoring records, and further hydraulic testing results. Recently, groundwater level responses were closely monitored during gas extraction trials which involved extended groundwater pumping from gas test wells in the Hoskissons Seam, allowing an assessment to be made of the hydraulic properties on the seam and the drawdown response to pumped extractions, in effect a dewatering trial. This data and the response to extended pumping have been taken into account in the model calibration. Accordingly, the model has been able to predict with greater confidence the likely inflows during longwall extraction. Only limited additional benefit would be gained from monitoring responses to Stage 1 mining without subsidence.

The Proponent was advised that the upgraded model (which has been independently peer reviewed) would be most appropriate to predict mine in-flows under longwall mining conditions. Data on mine in-flows and groundwater levels in surrounding piezometers available from the first two longwall panels could then be used to re-calibrate the longwall groundwater model.

In light of this advice in particular, the Proponent considered it unnecessary to wait until two years of data for Stage 1 mine in-flows is available to initiate the design of the longwall mining operation. Collectively, all assembled data supported, without equivocation, that the approved continuous miner operation could be converted to a longwall mining operation.

Notwithstanding the above approach, it is recognised that all underground mining operations continually review mine in-flow data given its importance to safe and efficient operations. Mine design and schedules would be revised, as required, to accommodate local variations from the data obtained studies.
1.5 MANAGEMENT OF INVESTIGATIONS

The preparation of this document has involved a study team managed by Mr Rob Corkery, M.Appl.Sc., B.Appl.Sc (Hons), Principal of R.W. Corkery & Co Pty. Limited, assisted by Mr Alex Irwin, B.Sc. (Hons) of the same company.

On behalf of the Proponent, Messrs Greig Duncan, Ben Bomford, B.MEng (Hons), Brian Cullen, B.E (Mining) MBA, Mark Dawson, B.Sc. (Hons) and Danny Young, B.Sc (Environmental Science) provided technical information on the proposed longwall mining operations and associated mine management and assisted with finalising the document.

Strong emphasis has been placed upon a multi-disciplinary team approach to the design of the Longwall Project, the description of the existing environment and resultant impact assessment. The following consultancy firms were commissioned by the Proponent to prepare nominated specialist consultant studies for the Longwall Project.

- **Subsidence Assessment:** Ditton Geotechnical Services Pty Ltd
  (Mr Stephen Ditton – B.Eng. (Civil/Hons)).

- **Groundwater Assessment:** Aquaterra Consulting Pty Ltd
  (Mr Peter Dundon – M.Sc, B.Sc).
  (Mr Andrew Fulton – M.Sc., B.Sc).

- **Surface Water Assessment:** WRM Water and Environment Pty Ltd
  (Mr Greg Roads – BE (Hons)).

- **Ecology Assessment:** Ecotone Ecological Consultants Pty Ltd
  (Mr Brian Wilson – B.App.Sc).
  (Mr Steven Cox – B. Appl. Sc. (Hons)).

- **Aboriginal and Non-indigenous Heritage Assessments:** Archaeological Surveys & Reports Pty Ltd
  (Mr John Appleton – BA (Hons)).

- **Noise and Vibration Assessment:** Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd
  (Dr Neil Pennington – PhD, B.Sc (Physics), B.Math (Hons)).

- **Air Quality Assessment:** Heggies Pty Ltd
  (Mr Ronan Kellaghan – B.Sc, HDip, M.Sc).
  (Mr Scott Fishwick – B.Sc. (Atmospheric Science)).

- **Soils Assessment:** Geoff Cunningham Natural Resource Consultants Pty Ltd
  (Mr Geoff Cunningham – B.Sc (Hons)).

In addition to these studies:

- a peer review of the subsidence assessment was undertaken by Dr Bruce Hebblewhite (PhD, B.E (Mining), Dip AICD);
- a peer review of the groundwater modelling and Groundwater Assessment was undertaken by Dr Noel Merrick (PhD, MSc; BSc); and
- a peer review of the mine’s water balance was undertaken by Mr Lindsay Gilbert (M.App.L, M. Eng Sc, B.E (Civil)).

Each peer review is incorporated at the end of the Subsidence, Groundwater and Surface Water Assessments respectively (Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the Specialist Consultant Studies Compendium).