

WHITEHAVEN COAL

WHITEHAVEN COAL HANDLING AND PREPARATION PLANT REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Approval	Name	Position	Signed	Date
Document Owner:	Harry Mills	Environmental Advisor	7. Mills	03/10/2024
Document Approver:	Megan Martin	Environmental Superintendent	M. Martin	03/10/2024



Summary Table				
Name of Mine	Whitehaven Coal Handling and Preparation Plant			
Rehabilitation Management Plan Commencement Date	September 2023			
Mining Authorisations (Lease / Licence No.)	ML 1876			
Name of Authorisation holder(s)	Whitehaven Coal Mining Limited			
Name of Mine Operator (if different)	Whitehaven Coal Mining Limited			
Date	October 2024			
Version	Version 2.0			



Contents

1	INTRODU	CTION TO MINING PROJECT	5
	1.1	HISTORY OF OPERATION	5
	1.1.1	CURRENT DEVELOPMENT CONSENTS, LEASES AND LICENCES	8
	1.1.2	DEVELOPMENT CONSENTS	8
	1.1.3	AUTHORISATIONS	8
	1.1.4	OTHER APPROVALS	9
	1.2	APPLICABLE GUIDELINES	9
	1.3	LAND OWNERSHIP AND LAND USE	10
	1.3.1	LAND OWNERSHIP	10
	1.3.2	HISTORIC AND CURRENT LAND USE	11
	1.3.3	FUTURE LAND USE	15
2	FINAL LAI	ND USE	16
	2.1	REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR REHABILITATION	16
	2.2	FINAL LAND USE OPTIONS ASSESSMENT	18
	2.3	FINAL LAND USE STATEMENT	18
	2.4	FINAL LAND USE AND MINING DOMAINS	18
	2.4.1	FINAL LAND USE DOMAINS	18
	2.4.2	MINING DOMAINS	19
3	REHABILI	TATION RISK ASSESSMENT	20
	3.1	SUMMARY OF RISK ASSESSMENTS	20
	3.2	REHABILITATION RISK ASSESSMENT	20
	3.2.1	SPECIFIC RISKS RELATING TO REHABILITATION	21
	3.2.2	FURTHER STUDIES / ACTION PLAN	23
4	REHABILI	TATION OBJECTIVES AND REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA	24
	4.1	DA 0079.2002 REQUIREMENTS	24
	4.2	SPECIFIC REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES	24
	4.2.1	SHORT-TERM REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE OBJECTIVES	25
	4.2.2	LONG-TERM REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE OBJECTIVES	25
	4.3	DOMAIN REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES	25
	4.4	REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA	26
	4.5 CRITERIA –	REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES AND REHABILITATION COMPLET STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION	10N 33
	4.5.1	STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN	33
	4.5.2	PROPOSED FUTURE CONSULTATION	33



5		FINAL LAN	IDFORM AND REHABILITATION PLAN	. 35
	5.	1	FINAL LANDFORM AND REHABILITATION PLAN – ELECTRONIC COPY	. 35
6		REHABILIT		. 38
	6.	1	LIFE OF MINE REHABILITATION SCHEDULE	. 38
		6.1.1	INFRASTRUCTURE	. 38
		6.1.2	MINING ACTIVITIES	. 39
		6.1.3	REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES	. 39
	6.	2	PHASES OF REHABILITATION AND GENERAL METHODOLOGIES	. 40
		6.2.1	ACTIVE MINING PHASE	.41
		6.2.2	DECOMMISSIONING	.42
		6.2.3	LANDFORM ESTABLISHMENT	.44
		6.2.4	GROWTH MEDIUM DEVELOPMENT	.44
		6.2.5	ECOSYSTEM AND LAND USE ESTABLISHMENT	. 45
		6.2.6	ECOSYSTEM AND LAND USE DEVELOPMENT	. 46
		6.2.7	REHABILITATION COMPLETION (SIGN-OFF)	. 47
	6.	3	REHABILITATION OF AREAS AFFECTED BY SUBSIDENCE	. 47
7		REHABILIT	TATION QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS	. 48
8		REHABILIT	TATION MONITORING PROGRAM	. 51
	8.	1	ANALOGUE SITE BASELINE MONITORING	. 51
	8.	2	REHABILITATION ESTABLISHMENT MONITORING	. 51
	8. R	3 EHABILITA	MEASURING PERFORMANCE AGAINST REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES A TION COMPLETION CRITERIA	ND . 51
	8.	4	ANNUAL REHABILITATION MONITORING	. 52
	8.	5	REHABILITATION PERFORMANCE	. 52
9		REHABILIT	TATION RESEARCH, MODELLING AND TRIALS	. 53
1(C	INTERVEN	ITION AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT	. 53
1	1	REVIEW, F	REVISION AND IMPLEMENTATION	. 64
	1	1.1	REVIEW AND REVISION OF THE PLAN	. 64
	1	1.2	IMPLEMENTATION	. 64
A	СС	COUNTABIL	ITIES	.65
S	UF	PORTING	DOCUMENTATION	.65



1 INTRODUCTION TO MINING PROJECT

The Whitehaven Gunnedah Coal Handling and Preparation Plant (CHPP) is a coal receival and processing facility with a rail loop and rail loading facility. Site is located within the Gunnedah Shire, approximately 5 kilometres (km) west of Gunnedah on the Kamilaroi Highway in northern New South Wales (NSW). (Figure 1).

Site processing capacity is up to 3.0 million tonnes of ROM coal per annum, with a dispatch capacity of up to 4.1 million tonnes of coal.

This Rehabilitation Management Plan (RMP) has been prepared in accordance with Mining Lease 1876 to satisfy Condition 10, Schedule 8A of which requires a Rehabilitation Management Plan to be developed for large mines.

Mining Lease 1876 was approved on the 11th April 2024. The RMP has been developed in conformance with the RR: *Rehabilitation Management Plan for Large Mines* (RR, 2021) and associated guidelines (refer Section 1.2).

Operations are expected to continue till mid-2026, whereafter all useable coal beneficiation infrastructure will be recovered and repurposed within other Whitehaven Coal operations. After cessation of mining (coal crushing, separation/'washing' and train loading), it is expected to take an additional 24 months for the settling ponds to dry out and rejects to be removed, site decontamination and decommissioning (refer to Section 6.1.3)

1.1 HISTORY OF OPERATION

The Whitehaven CHPP and Rail Load-Out Facility is owned and operated by Whitehaven Coal Limited (Whitehaven) and was originally approved by Gunnedah Shire Council on 7th September 2002 under Project Approval 0079.2002. The location of the Whitehaven CHPP and Rail Load-Out Facility and its regional setting is shown on Figure 1.

A modification was approved on the 17th April 2008, for an upgrade to the CHPP and the construction of 2 additional reject ponds.

On 23rd December 2011, a proposal to construct additional reject ponds at the CHPP was approved by DP&E (formerly the Department of Planning and Infrastructure), which provided for the construction of 3 additional reject ponds and 2 settlement ponds to the immediate east of the existing pond footprint.

Modification 1 was approved on the 24th August 2015, to rectify an administrative issue.

Modification 2 was approved on the 12th September 2022, to extend the CHPP life until 2nd October 2026, reconfigure existing settlement ponds and construction of new water storage dam. Site Layout is shown in Figure 2.

A mining Lease was granted for the CHPP on the 11th April 2024 (ML 1876).







1.1.1 CURRENT DEVELOPMENT CONSENTS, LEASES AND LICENCES

1.1.2 DEVELOPMENT CONSENTS

Table 1 below shows the Development Consent held by Gunnedah CHPP.

Table 1 Development Consents

Issuing / Responsible Authority	Development Consent*	Details	Date of Issue	Expiry
Gunnedah Shire Council	DA 0079.2002	Initial PA by Gunnedah Shire Council	2/10/2002	
Gunnedah Shire Council	DA 0079.2002 (MOD1)	MOD1: Increase of Throughput and other associated Infrastructure	17/04/2008	
DP&E	DA 0079.2002 (MOD2)	MOD2: Construction of three additional reject ponds and two settlement ponds	23/12/2011	
DP&E	DA 0079.2002 (MOD3)	MOD3: To rectify and administrative issue.	24/08/2015	
DP&E	DA 0079.2002 (MOD4)	MOD4: to extend the CHPP operations, reconfigure existing settlement ponds and construction of new water storage dam	12/09/2022	Mining operations until 02/10/2026
DPHI	DA 0079.2002 (MOD5)	MOD5: To align with the new Mining Lease granted to CHPP superseding the DA Rehabilitation requirements	12/04/2024	

1.1.3 AUTHORISATIONS

A Mining lease and authorisation for the project site from the Resource Regulator (RR).

Table 2Authorisations

Issuing / Responsible Authority	Licence	Grant Date	Expiry Date	Status
RR	ML 1876	11/04/2024	11/04/2045	Current



1.1.4 OTHER APPROVALS

A summary of all licences held by CHPP for the mining operations are included in Table 3.

Table 3 Licences

Issuing / Responsible Authority	Licence	Licence Type	Grant Date	Expiry date
Environment EPL 3637 Protection Authority (EPA)		Environment Protection Licence	07 Aug 2000	Anniversary date 1 st April
WaterNSW	WAL12724	Olive View (Share 45)	19/07/2010	
	WAL12731	Overtime (Share 3)	13/03/2024	
	WAL12715	Olive View (Share 75)	09/05/2007	
	WAL16034	Regulated River High security (Share 50)	16/12/2009	
	WAL14936	Regulated River Water Source (General Security)	19/01/2010	
	WAL12645	Olive View (Share 35)	13/07/2009	
	WAL12701	Wirringulla (Share 20)	28/02/2008	
	90BL254681	Monitoring Piezometer	6/03/2009	Perpetuity
	90BL254680	Monitoring Piezometer	7/03/2009	Perpetuity
	90BL254682	Monitoring Piezometer	7/03/2009	Perpetuity

1.2 APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

In addition to the regulatory requirements identified above, this Plan has been prepared with consideration for the following guidelines, standards, and policies:

- Form and way: Rehabilitation Management Plan (large mines);
- Form and way: Rehabilitation objectives, rehabilitation completion criteria and final landform and rehabilitation plan for large mines;
- *Guideline: Rehabilitation risk assessment;*
- Guideline: Rehabilitation objectives and rehabilitation completion criteria;



- Planning for Integrated Mine Closure Toolkit (ICMM, 2008);
- Mining Amendment (Standard Condition of Mining Leases Rehabilitation) Regulation 2021;
- Strategic Framework for Mine Closure (ANZMEC 2000);
- Leading Practice Sustainable Development Program for the Mining Industry Mine Closure and Completion, Mine Rehabilitation (Commonwealth Department of Industry, Tourism and Resources);
- Best Practice Environmental Management in the Mining Industry Series;
- Enduring Value (Mineral Council of Australia 2015); and
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 (Mining SEPP).

1.3 LAND OWNERSHIP AND LAND USE

1.3.1 LAND OWNERSHIP

Project boundary and Land ownership within is shown in Figure3, and property details are given in Table 4.

Table 4 Properties Licences

Property No.	Lot*	Ownership
DP755503	Lot 112	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 474	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 471	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 475	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 498	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 111	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 473	Whitehaven Coal
DP755503	Lot 472	Whitehaven Coal
DP723509	Lot 1	Whitehaven Coal
DP705086	Lot 678	Whitehaven Coal
DP239575	Lot 1	Whitehaven Coal
DP542047	Lot 12	Whitehaven Coal
DP239575	Lot 1	Gunnedah Shire (Rail siding)
DP542047	Lot 12	Gunnedah Shire (Rail siding)



Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document Approver:	Env Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

The CHPP project covers an area of 92 ha of which 23ha the southern rail loop area is leased from Gunnedah Shire.

1.3.2 HISTORIC AND CURRENT LAND USE

The Gunnedah CHPP is located on the Werris Creek Mungindi Railway siding in Gunnedah. The rail siding was previously used by the historic Gunnedah Colliery for coal loading and transport.

Land use surrounding the CHPP is a mix of agriculture and medium industry. Agriculture is predominantly cropping with some domestic grazing. Industry consists of an animal feed company producing horse feed that is located on the south eastern border of CHPP operational boundary, and a leather processing operation is located 600m to the south.

To the north of the CHPP is the Kamilaroi Highway which gives access to site. The Namoi River occurs 400m to the north of the site.





- 2.1.0 Grazing native vegetation
- 3.1.0 Plantation forests
- 3.2.0 Grazing modified pastures
- 3.3.0 Cropping
- 3.4.0 Perennial horticulture
- 4.2.0 Grazing irrigated modified pastures
- 4.3.0 Irrigated cropping
- 4.5.0 Irrigated seasonal horticulture
- 4.6.0 Irrigated land in transition
- 5.1.0 Intensive horticulture
- 5.3.0 Manufacturing and industrial
- 5.4.0 Residential and farm infrastructure
- 5.5.0 Services
- 5.7.0 Transport and communication
- 5.8.0 Mining
 - 5.9.0 Waste treatment and disposal
- 6.3.0 River
- 6.5.0 Marsh/wetland

Regional Vegetation Mapping Plant Community Type

Not classified

Black Tea-tree - River Oak - Wilga riparian low forest/shrubland wetland of rich soil depressions in the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion Narrow-leaved Ironbark - cypress pine - White Box shrubby open forestin the Brigalow Belt South Bioregion and Nandewar Bioregion Poplar Box - Yellow Box - Western Grey Box grassy woodland on cracking clay soils mainly in the Liverpool Plains, Brigalow Belt South Bioregion River Red Gum riparian tall woodland / open forest wetland in the Nandewar Bioregion and Brigalow Belt South Bioregion Shallow freshwater wetland sedgeland in depressions on floodplains on inland alluivial plains and floodplains White Box - White Cypress Pine - Silver-leaved Ironbark grassy woodland on mainly clay loam soils on hills mainly in the Nandewar Bioregion White Box grassy woodland to open woodland on basalt flats and rises in the Liverpool Plains sub-region, BBS Bioregion

Gunnedah CHPP Vegetation Communities

Figure 5 **Property Portions**

WHITEHAVEN	WHITEHAVEN CO	AL

Datum:	MGA Zone 56	Author:	A.Raal	Image:	March 23
Date:	Sept 23	Size/Scale	e: 1:12,00	00	



CHPP Project Boundary

CHPP_Haul \Spatial\ARCGIS\WHC Survey Rehab



1.3.3 FUTURE LAND USE

The post-mining land use will be mixture of industrial (rail, freight), and agricultural (grazing & cropping) Figure 6.

Final landform will be taken as far as practical to original ground level prior to mining activities, with natural drainage towards the Namoi River. All reject facilities, dams and infrastructure will be removed, contamination testing undertaken and backfilled. The Fresh water dam in the rail loop will be maintained.

Rail Infrastructure

The rail loop will be returned to Gunnedah Shire Council after contamination testing and any required remediation works completed.

The historic rail siding will undergo contamination testing and remediation as required.

Reject Facilities

Reject facilities include settling ponds, sedimentation dams and associated infrastructure and roads.

Final land use for these areas will be grazing with local grasses, and with scattered trees.

Coal Processing Areas

This includes the ROM Pad, reclaim tunnel rail loading facility with bin, main processing plant infrastructure, office blocks and store facilities, Main Storage Dams.

Final land use for these areas will be grazing with a potential for cropping.



2 FINAL LAND USE

2.1 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR REHABILITATION

Regulatory approval for the area is coved by the April 2008 Statement of Environmental Effects and project DA 0079.2002 September 2022.

The regulatory requirements specific to post mining land use, rehabilitation, and closure at Gunnedah CHPP are summarised in Table 5.

Table 5 Regulatory Requirements Rehabilitation

	Requirement		Domain	Timing	Section Addressed
Development Consent DA 0079.2002					
Schedule 3, Condition 7	Schedule 3, Condition 7The Applicant must rehabilitate the site in accordance with the conditions of the mining lease associated with the development under the Mining Act 1992. This rehabilitation must be generally consistent with the proposed rehabilitation strategy described in the EIS and comply with the objectives in Table 1A below. Table 1A: Rehabilitation ObjectivesFeatureObjective		All	Life of Operation	Section 4 Section 6
	All areas of the site affected by the development	 Safe, stable and non-polluting Final land use compatible with surrounding land uses 			
	Surface Infrastructure	To be decommissioned, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise			



Document Owner: Env Advisor Document Approver: Env Superintendent Revision Period: 3 years Issue: 2 Last Revision Date: October 2024

WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHITEHAVEN GOC

	Requirement		Domain	Timing	Section Addressed
	Water quality Community	 Water retained on the site is fit for the intended post development land use Water discharged from the site is suitable for receiving waters Ensure public safety Minimise adverse socio-economic effects associated with the closure of the development 			
Environmental Performance and Management					
2. Air Quality	b) minimise the surface disturbance on site and revegetate disturbed areas as soon as practicable; to the satisfaction of the Secretary.				6.3.5



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

2.2 FINAL LAND USE OPTIONS ASSESSMENT

Final land use will be in line with consent conditions that all infrastructure will be removed. Rehabilitation of the site will be to allow for future landholder to use the site in line with surround land use light industrial and agriculture.

Future land option would be to leave workshop, offices and training centre for future land holder to utilise. This and other options will be will be assessed within year of planned cessation of operations on the site and be part of negotiations with potential future landholders.

2.3 FINAL LAND USE STATEMENT

The overall closure goal for the Gunnedah CHPP is threefold: Firstly, remove all carbonaceous material and any potential contamination. Secondly, to return disturbed areas back to original landform with drainage towards the Namoi River. Thirdly rehabilitate disturbed areas to a state of pastural agriculture.

Site will be returned to original pre-operational landform with no additional water storages, reject facilities or contamination on site. There have been no excavations that intercept the underlying groundwater. These actions will remove any residual water risks.

2.4 FINAL LAND USE AND MINING DOMAINS

2.4.1 FINAL LAND USE DOMAINS

Final land use domains are defined as land management units characterised by similar final land use objectives. Each final land use domain will require specific rehabilitation methods.

The final land use domains are based on RR Mine Rehabilitation Portal Guideline (1 Sept 2022). Applicable final land use domains are presented in Table 6 and shown on the Final Landform and Rehabilitation Plan (refer Figure 6).

Code	Final Land Use Domain	Description
В	Agricultural - Grazing	Areas rehabilitated with A mixture of native and pasture species to a rural land capability of (at least) Class VI, suitable for grazing land use.
E	Industrial	Rail loop belonging to Gunnedah Shire Council
F	Water management areas	The network of dams and water management structures retained in the final landform. Dams will provide water resources for grazing areas and native fauna.
I	Infrastructure	Infrastructure to be retained following relinquishment including roads

Table 6Final Land Use Domains



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

2.4.2 MINING DOMAINS

Mining domains identify the footprint of areas disturbed for mining related activities. For the purpose of this Plan, mining domains have been defined as the set of discrete areas that have a particular operational or functional purpose, therefore having similar geophysical and geochemical characteristics that will have similar rehabilitation requirements.

Mining domains are presented in Table 7.

Table 7Mining Domains

Code	Mining Domain	Description
1	Infrastructure Area	Includes workshop and offices, training centre, main bitumen access roads and site unsealed roads
3	Water Management Area	The network of clean water and dirty water dams and associated drains and bunding infrastructure used for operational water management.
7	Beneficiation Facility	ROM Pad, reclaim tunnel, coal loading facility, coal processing plant



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

3 REHABILITATION RISK ASSESSMENT

3.1 SUMMARY OF RISK ASSESSMENTS

Multiple risk assessments have been completed historically for the rehabilitation works associated with CHPP Based on review of historic documentation, Table 8 summarises the identified risk assessments.

Table 8	Summar	y of Risk As	sessments
---------	--------	--------------	-----------

Date	Risk Assessment	Details
Sept 2021Gunnedah Open Cut Broad Brush Risk Assessment (BBRA)		BBRA review to review material risks and controls.
3 May 21	Gunnedah Open Cut Bowtie Risk Assessment	Bow Tie risk assessment for closed mine environmental risks
31 March 23	Gunnedah CHPP Rehabilitation Risk Assessment	A risk assessment was conducted to identify the key issues that presented a risk to achieving satisfactory rehabilitation and inform the preparation of the RMP.
30 May 2024	Gunnedah CHPP Rehabilitation Risk Assessment	A review of the risk assessment based on approval of Mining Lease ML 1876.

3.2 REHABILITATION RISK ASSESSMENT

A risk assessment workshop was undertaken on 30May 2024. The workshop was used to review the key issues that presented a risk to achieving satisfactory rehabilitation.

The risk assessment included key Whitehaven personnel and was undertaken in accordance with AS/NZS ISO 31000:2018 Risk Management – Guidelines, the Risk Management Handbook for the Mining Industry (MDG1010). Whitehaven's Risk Matrix was used to calculate the consequence and likelihood of an event and to evaluate the subsequent risk level (risk rank).

The risk assessment has been used to inform the preparation of this Plan. The objectives of the risk assessment were to:

- Identify the risks associated with rehabilitation and closure to achieve the approved post mining land uses;
- Identify knowledge gaps in Whitehaven's current understanding of the risks to rehabilitation;
- Identify the investigations/controls/action plans necessary to effectively mitigate risks and/or realise opportunities and to close any identified knowledge gaps;
- Inform the development of this RMP, to provide a basis to determine additional investigations and/or project works to be undertaken; and



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

l a

• Provide the framework to satisfy relevant internal and government guidelines, requiring implementation of a risk-based approach to closure.

The risk assessment review assessed a total of 57 key rehabilitation risks, which are summarised as:

- 25 risks were ranked as not applicable;
- 3 risks were ranked as Negligeable
- 23 risks were ranked as minor;
- 6 risks were ranked as medium;
- 0 risk were ranked as high; and
- 0 risks were ranked as critical.

Rehabilitation risks, controls and proposed controls will regularly be reviewed and revised (as required)

3.2.1 SPECIFIC RISKS RELATING TO REHABILITATION

There were no high or critical risks to successful rehabilitation and associated risk controls identified during the workshop. Key risk relating decommissioning and final rehabilitation are summarised in Table 9. The outcomes of the risk assessment workshop have been used to inform the preparation of this Plan.

Risk Rating	Key Risk	Key Controls	Sections Addressed
Medium	Insufficient skills and experience of rehabilitation personnel	Rehabilitation Planning Process Training and competencies of personnel working on rehabilitation projects and operations Quality assurance process for rehabilitation Specialist consultants and contractors are engaged as required Survey and GPS guidance Weekly and monthly planning meetings Budget process Integration into the mine planning process	Section 10 Section 7

Table 9	Key Rehabilitation Risks and Identified Controls
---------	--



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

Medium	Adverse impacts to water quality during	Water management plan for site Rehabilitation Management Plan MOC process between operations and Closure Teams	Section 4.3 Section 4.4 Water Management Plan
Medium	Dam Failure	Water Management Plan Monthly inspections and audits including of dams on sites Dams highly vegetated Inspections of dams following high rainfall events (>25mm in 24h) Monthly water meter readings to monitor water level	Water Management Plan
Medium	Uncontrolled run off from settling ponds	Surface water management structures, storm water catchment dam Design of landforms to ensure water runoff into approved dams or catchment areas Water Management Plan Environmental Compliance Inspections and Audits Water sampling and containment Specific personnel trained to drain overfilled dams Inspections of dams following high rainfall events (>25mm/24h) Monthly water inspections Site daily inspections Water infrastructure Sediment Boards	Section 4 Table 12 Water Management Plan
Medium	Adverse geochemical/chemical composition of tailings material leading to leaching into soil / groundwater	Characterisation of coal and rejects Groundwater quality and quantity testing Sampling and testing of tailings ponds materials Water management plan includes location of clean and dirty water sources on site	Section 6.3 Section 6.1 Environmental Management Plan



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

		Coal/tailings is currently stored in designated areas as per site plan QA/QC Process for Reject Ponds	
Medium	Exporting coal fines on coal haulage route	Operating truck wash Tarps covering all trucks on haulage routes Street sweeper used Weekly on Highway Environmental monthly inspections Water cart used to clean road Sludge Locks on Fines trucks Weekly inspection of site (Truck wash) Sunday Truck wash maintenance Prompt Clean-up of spills	Environmental Management Plan

3.2.2 FURTHER STUDIES / ACTION PLAN

Proposed controls and further studies were identified during the risk assessment workshop. Table 10 presents an action plan for implementation of the additional risk controls (including high and extreme risks).

Table 10	Further	Studies /	Action	Plan

Risk Rating	Risk	Proposed Control / Study	Timeframe
Medium	Adverse geochemical/chemical composition of tailings material leading to leaching into soil / groundwater	 I. Complete soil testing for contamination at depth II. Complete analysis of tailings material (including metals content) 	2025
Medium	Dam Failure	I. Raw Water Dam Project	2025-2026
Medium	Adverse impacts to water quality during removal of tailings materials from site	I. Develop final land use in consultation with regulators	Prior to decommissioning tailing facilities



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

Medium	Uncontrolled run off from settling ponds	I. II.	Upstream clean water diversions Pumping of water to alternate storage dam	As Part of raw water dam project (2025-2026)
		111.	Review site drainage and catchment plans	

4 <u>REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES AND REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA</u> 4.1 <u>DA 0079.2002 REQUIREMENTS</u>

In accordance with Schedule 3 Condition 7 DA 0079.2002 (as modified), Whitehaven will:

a. The Applicant must rehabilitate the site in accordance with the conditions of the mining lease associated with the development under the Mining Act 1992. This rehabilitation must be generally consistent with the proposed rehabilitation strategy described in the EIS and comply with the objectives in Table 11 below.

Feature	Objective
All areas of the site affected by the development	Safe, stable and non-polluting.Final land use compatible with surrounding land uses
Surface Infrastructure	To be decommissioned, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise
Water quality	 Water retained on the site is fit for the intended post development land use Water discharged from the site is suitable for receiving waters
Community	 Ensure public safety Minimise adverse socio-economic effects associated with the closure of the development

Table 11 Rehabilitation Objectives

4.2 SPECIFIC REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES

In order to achieve the broad rehabilitation objectives presented in DA 0079.2002, the following short-term and long-term rehabilitation objectives have been adopted.



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
st Revision Date:	October 2024

La

4.2.1 SHORT-TERM REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE OBJECTIVES

Short-term rehabilitation and closure objectives include:

- Maintain site topsoil stockpiles
- to stabilise all earthworks, drainage lines and disturbed areas in order to minimise erosion and sedimentation; and
- control weeds.

4.2.2 LONG-TERM REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE OBJECTIVES

Long-term rehabilitation and closure objectives include:

- Remove all carbonaceous material from settling and sedimentation ponds,
- Undertake contamination testing and remove any contamination or carbonaceous material area;
- Backfill all mine water management structures;
- to monitor rehabilitation success in terms of physical and biological parameters; and
- to achieve relinquishment status of rehabilitated and decommissioned areas.

4.3 DOMAIN REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES

In order to achieve the broad rehabilitation objectives presented in DA 0079.2002, Whitehaven have developed specific domain rehabilitation objectives.

The key rehabilitation objectives for each of the domains are defined in Table 12.

Table 12 Rehabilitation Domain Objectives

Spatial Code	Final Land Use Domain	Rehabilitation Objective
В	Agricultural – Grazing	Pasture areas with characteristics comparable with surrounding grazed farm land will be established across all disturbed areas.
		Grazing rehabilitation areas will comply with (at least) a Rural Land Capability of Class VI. Management inputs required to sustain grazing will be in the range of analogue sites.
		Where suitable, pasture areas will be fence with stock proof fencing.
G	Water Storage	Remaining fresh water dam will be desilted as required. All other water storages and earthen drains will be backfilled, made free draining and rehabilitated back to original ground as far as practical.



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

Spatial Code	Final Land Use Domain	Rehabilitation Objective
		The final landform water management will not adversely impact downstream water users. Water sources and dependent ecosystems will be protected so as not to impact on basic landholder rights. Water harvestable rights will be according to NSW legislation.
1	Infrastructure	Retained infrastructure will be limited to sealed roads for site access by post closure land holder. All other infrastructure will be removed.

4.4 REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA

Completion criteria are objective target levels or values assigned to a variety of indicators (e.g., slope, species diversity, percent groundcover), which can be measured to demonstrate progress and ultimate success of rehabilitation. As such, they provide a defined end point, at which point in time rehabilitation can be deemed successful and the lease relinquishment process can proceed. The rehabilitation completion criteria for all areas of Gunnedah CHPP are listed in Table 13.

These completion criteria will be utilised to demonstrate achievement of rehabilitation objectives. It is noted that the completion criteria may be subject to refinement as rehabilitation progresses, including as a result of ongoing consultation with the relevant stakeholders, studies yet to be completed and continuous improvement process informed by rehabilitation monitoring results. The achievement (or otherwise) of the completion criteria will be monitored and reported as required.

Completion criteria have been informed by the following information:

- Relevant conditions of DA 0079.2002;
- The Department of Regional NSW Mining, Exploration & Geosciences (DRNSW MEG) rehabilitation guideline documents including:
- Form and way: Rehabilitation objectives, rehabilitation completion criteria and final landform and rehabilitation plan for large mines;
- Guideline: Rehabilitation objectives and rehabilitation completion criteria; and
- Similar rehabilitation projects.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Table 13 Rehabilitation completion criteria

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)
Phase 1 - Decommissio	ning				
Infrastructure Areas					
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	Infrastructure	All surface infrastructure has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved	Removal of all services (power, water, communications) that have been connected on the site as part of the operation.	All utility infrastructure removed.	Statement provided, utility service disconnection record / notification
		otherwise by the Secretary	Demolition and removal of all surface infrastructure that is not required for the final land use. Removal or capping off of any services connected to buildings.	Infrastructure removed.	Statement provided Demolition records As-constructed final landform
			Removal of all concrete footings, foundations, and pavements	All concrete footings, foundations and pavements have been removed to avoid exposure pathways to subsequent final land use.	Statement provided Demolition records Surveyed and marked on the as- constructed final landform plan.
Infrastructure	Infrastructure	Retention of infrastructure (if applicable):	Potential hazards (e.g., electrical, mechanical) have been effectively isolated and secured	Hazards isolated and secured.	Statement provided by suitably qualified engineer.
	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe and does not pose any hazard to the community and is approved by the Secretary for retention.	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land	Damage to access tracks has been repaired and stabilised.	Repairs complete.	As-constructed final landform plan, photos etc.
		any hazard to the community and is approved by the Secretary for retention.	Appropriate approvals have been sought and granted for retained infrastructure	Approval in place	Approval from the Secretary
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture) And Industrial	Infrastructure	All hazardous and contaminated materials are appropriately removed or remediated in accordance with the recommendations of a contamination site assessment.	Waste material and/or visible contamination areas on site surface.	There are no visible signs of contamination following the removal of plant, equipment, and materials. All rubbish/ waste materials have been removed from site.	Statement provided and before/after photos. Waste disposal records
			Soil testing for contaminants of concern as listed by Health Investigation Level of the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (1999) (NEPM) applicable to land use type.	Contamination has been appropriately remediated, removed or managed so that appropriate guidelines for land use are met, e.g., Health Investigation Level (HIL) or Ecological Investigation Level (EIL) of the NEPM (1999).	Contamination Remediation Report prepared by suitable qualified person Site Contamination Audit Report and Site Audit Statement prepared by EPA Accredited Auditor (where required).
			Carbonaceous material is removed from the footprint of the infrastructure areas	Any carbonaceous material has been removed from the footprint of the infrastructure areas transported off stie to approved location.	Photographs, Rehabilitation monitoring reports, as-constructed surveys, quality assurance records.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)
Water Management Are	a				
Water management	Water management	All water management infrastructure has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved otherwise by the Secretary	Removal of all water management infrastructure (including pumps, pipes and power).	Infrastructure removed.	Statement provided and before/after photos.
Water management Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	Water management	Domain stable, limited groundwater seepage and non- polluting	Site fresh water dam is desilted and decontaminated prior to conversion to clean water dams or backfilling and regrading into in the final landform.	Sediment accumulated in mine water and sediment dams is removed and take off site if containing carbonaceous material, supported by records.	Statement provided and before/after photos. Monitoring Rehabilitation records
All other mining domain	IS				
All domains	All domains	All surface infrastructure, plant and equipment has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved otherwise by the Secretary	Removal of all plant, equipment and associated equipment from the footprint of mining areas	Infrastructure and equipment (including mobile equipment) removed	Statement provided Demolition /decommissioning records As-constructed final landform
Phase 2 – Landform Es	tablishment				
All domains					
All domains	All domains	Stable and permanent landform established	Landforms are surveyed and demonstrated to be free draining.	Survey verifies final landform are free draining integrated into surrounding landscape.	As constructed surveys, drainage modelling.
			Landform stability and erosion	Survey or remote sensing of the rehabilitated landforms shows an absence of slumping that could compromise stability.	Survey or remote sensing monitoring, Annual rehabilitation monitoring.
		Final Landform non-polluting	No polluted or contaminated runoff from the landform	Water quality measured at discharge points and downstream monitoring locations meets guidelines, no impact between received run-on and water leaving site.	Observation post rainfall Water quality sampling and analyses as per the approved <i>Water</i> <i>Management Plan</i>
		Final landform blends with the surrounding topography	Visual assessment and as constructed survey	Visual assessments and as constructed survey verifies the regraded landform blends with the surrounding topography	Before / after photographs As constructed survey
Water Management Are	as				
Water Management Area	Water Management Area	Domain stable and non-polluting	Redundant water management structures backfilled	No pooling of water over site following rainfall	Observation/Photographs Rehabilitation monitoring reports
			Remaining water management structures non-polluting	Monitoring indicated that surface water complies with the Water Quality Guidelines (2018) trigger value for livestock (cattle) or analogue monitoring site	Water quality testing as per the approved <i>Site Water Management Plan</i>
		Water Approvals	Water approvals	Site water capture of runoff water is less than 10% of total runoff	Site water catchment plan demonstrates that total water capture on site is within in legal requirements.

WHITEHAVEN	WHITEHAVEN GOC
------------	----------------

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based analogue data where appropriate)
		Structures that take or divert water such as final voids (there is no final void), dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g., under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.		
Phase 3 – Growth N	ledium Development			

All Dolliallis					
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)All domains	All domains	Growth media is appropriate to support the final land use	Soil thickness on shaped landform	Rehabilitation records verify that rehabilitation areas topsoiled with a nominal depth of 150 mm, where available.	Rehabilitation records Test pits As constructed survey
			Soil characteristics in the range of pre-mining soil characteristics	Monitoring verifies soil characteristics such as pH, Electrical Conductivity, Exchangeable sodium percentage, nitrogen and phosphorous are in the range of analogue sites or do not appear to be limiting plant performance.	Soil monitoring report outlining the results of physical and laboratory soil tests
			Ameliorant application.	Soil ameliorants (e.g., gypsum, mulch, biosolids, composts) are applied where necessary (supported by records).	Rehabilitation records
		The rehabilitated area does not represent an erosion hazard	Suitable surface water controls installed and operating effectively	Monitoring of water discharged from the Mine complies with guideline levels No identifiable erosion or sedimentation	Water quality testing as perthe approved <i>Site Water Management Plan</i> Photographs
Dhana 4 Facewaters	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	

Phase 4 – Ecosystem and Land Use Establishment

All domains					
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	Weeds and feral animals do not present a risk to rehabilitation.	Weed presence	Number of weed species and abundance average no greater than 20% more than that of analogue sites	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
			Feral animal density	Monitoring records indicate that feral and pest animal species abundance is nogreater than surrounding lands.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
		Grazing stock is excluded from rehabilitation areas and enrichment zones prior to relinquishment.	Stock exclusion fencing	Rehabilitation areas and enrichment zones are fenced to exclude grazing stock (until stable and grazing will not impact upon its establishment).	Rehabilitation records Photographs
		Erosion does not present a safety hazard or compromise the post-mining land capability.	Erosion control	Visual monitoring indicates there is no significant erosion that compromises land capability or the intended final land use.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports Survey reports (LiDAR, year over year comparison)

on	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)



WHITEHAVEN GOC

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)
					Annual independent rehabilitation report
				Monitoring verifies there are no gully or tunnel erosion features, or rills >300 mm deep or wide.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	Bushfire: The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	Appropriate bushfire hazard controls (where required) have been implemented on the advice from the NSW Rural Fire Service or bushfire consultant.	Bushfire controls implemented.	Statement provided and before/after photos.
Water Management Are	a				
Water Management Area	Water Management Area	Domain stable and non-polluting	Water management structures stabilised and capable of retaining and conveying water without causing pollution	No identifiable erosion or sedimentation	Visual inspection Photographs
			Water discharged from site within relevant criteria	Monitoring of water discharged from the Mine complies with guideline limits	Water quality testing as per the approved <i>Water Management Plan</i>
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pa	asture)			
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture) All domains Re-establishing agricultural over the areas disturbed by mine. Pasture) Pasture areas will be capable sustaining grazing with land capability that reflects the primining environment.	All domains	Re-establishing agricultural land over the areas disturbed by the mine. Pasture areas will be capable of	Area of pasture rehabilitation	Rehabilitated pasture areas have been sown with a mixture of pasture species including fast growing, short- lived species and perennial grasses and legumes.	Rehabilitation records
	sustaining grazing with land capability that reflects the pre- mining environment.	Species selection	Rehabilitation areas comprise a mixture of grasses representative of regionally occurring vegetation where possible. Grasses sown will be selected from appropriate analogue sites.	Rehabilitation records Rehabilitation monitoring reports	
		Vegetation establishment	Rehabilitation monitoring records verify that ground cover (vegetation, leaf litter, and mulch) is at least 85%. The first monitoring program will be completed within 12 to 18 months of rehabilitation to quantify	Rehabilitation monitoring reports	
				pasture crop establishment.	
				No bare surfaces >20 m ² in area or >10 m in length down slope as indicated by rehabilitation monitoring at Year 5 following establishment.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports Remote sensing (LiDAR)
			Vegetation health	Rehabilitation monitoring shows that pasture health is comparable to analogue sites.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
Phase 5 – Ecosystem a	nd Land Use Developm	ent			

All domains



WHITEHAVEN GOC

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)
All domains	All domains	Weeds are controlled.	Weed presence	Number of weed species and abundance average no greater than20% more than that of analogue sites	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
All domains	All domains	Feral animal pests are controlled	Feral animal density	Monitoring records indicate that feral and pest animal species abundance is nogreater than surrounding lands.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
All domains All	All domains	Erosion does not present a safety hazard or compromise the post-mining land capability.	Erosion and sediment control	There are no gully or tunnel erosion features and there is an absence of rilling (> 300 mm deep) within each domain.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
		Monitoring demonstrates soil profile development in rehabilitated areas (e.g., development of organic layer, litter layer).	Soil quality	Soil testing indicates that topsoil soil characteristics (pH, EC [electrical conductivity], ESP) generally meet the following criteria: - pH – between 4.5 and 8.5, or is comparable to relevant analogue sites; - EC - < 1 dS/m, or is comparable to relevant analogue sites; and - ESP – that is comparable to the analogue sites. Where soil testing results indicate values outside the above criteria, rehabilitation monitoring at the relevant area verifies that the soil quality is not inhibiting plant growth.	Soil monitoring and testing reports Rehabilitation monitoring reports. Reports for Rail Loop will be provided to landowner (Gunnedah Shire Council).
				cover (vegetation, leaf litter, and mulch) is greater than 85 %.	renazination monitoring reports
Water Management Are	a			·	
Water management	Water management areas	Final landform drainage will integrate with surrounding catchments, achieve long-term geomorphic stability and minimise erosion.	Discharge water quality	Discharge water quality meets EPL requirements.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
areas			Geomorphic stability	Water management structures are assessed to be stable	Geotechnical reports
			Native overstorey cover		
			Native mid storey cover		
			Native ground cover (grasses)		
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)					
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	Pasture areas will be capable of sustaining grazing with land capability that reflects the pre- mining environment and to minimise visual impacts	Species composition	Rehabilitation monitoring verifies that species in pasture rehabilitation areas comprise a mixture of grasses representative of pasture vegetation.	Rehabilitation monitoring records.
			Regeneration	Established species survive and/or regenerate after disturbance	Rehabilitation monitoring records.

uirements.	Rehabilitation monitoring reports
essed to be	Geotechnical reports

		Documer
	WHITEHAVEN GOC	Revisio
HITEHAVEN		
		Last Revis

Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document	Env
Approver:	Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
ast Revision Date:	October 2024

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Indicator (specific attribute associated with the objective)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Example Justification Validation Method (evidence that the benchmark has been achieved)
				Species are capable of setting viable seed, flowering or otherwise reproducing.	Rehabilitation monitoring records.
			Vegetation health	Rehabilitation monitoring verifies that vegetation health is comparable to reference sites.	Rehabilitation monitoring records.
			Land capability	Pasture areas are assessed to have a Rural Land Class VI or better (capable of sustaining grazing), consistent with the final landform.	Rehabilitation monitoring records.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

4.5 <u>REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES AND REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA</u> <u>– STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION</u>

4.5.1 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN

Gunnedah CHPP has prepared a Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) to facilitate stakeholder consultation for the CHPP's rehabilitation objectives and completion criteria. This document details CHPP's stakeholders and the strategies used to communicate with them and provide the foundation for working with stakeholders prior to and during the closure process. The SEP will be regularly revised to reflect the outcomes of technical investigations, the ongoing development and execution of this RMP and the outcomes of ongoing engagement.

The following regulatory agencies and landholders will be consulted with as part of final rehabilitation.

Relevant Statutory Authorities

Whitehaven has consulted with and will continue to consult with the following regulatory bodies in relation to the CHPP, rehabilitation and completion criteria:

- Resource Regulator Mineral Resources.
- Environment Protection Authority NSW (EPA);
- Department of Planning and Environment Water.
- Department of Planning and Environment (DPE)
- Transport for NSW;
- Gunnedah Shire Council

Other Key Stakeholders

Consultation will continue with a number of community groups and landholders in relation to the CHPP operations and rehabilitation, including:

- Surrounding residents
- Employees

4.5.2 PROPOSED FUTURE CONSULTATION

Consultation will continue with stakeholders during the life of mine, in accordance with the SEP. Table 14 presents a summary of the proposed future consultation activities key stakeholders.

Stakeholder	Activities
RR	Annual Rehabilitation Report against any issued Mineral lease
DPE	Ongoing revisions of the RMP Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Progress
DPE Water	Submission of the RMP for comment, and any subsequent revisions.
Gunnedah Shire Council	Submission of the RMP for comment, and any subsequent revisions.

Table 14 Summary of proposed future stakeholder engagement activities



Stakeholder	Activities
EPA	Submission of the RMP for comment, and any subsequent revisions.
Stakeholder and Community Interest Groups	Ongoing revisions of the RMP Rehabilitation and Mine Closure Progress



5 FINAL LANDFORM AND REHABILITATION PLAN

5.1 FINAL LANDFORM AND REHABILITATION PLAN – ELECTRONIC COPY

WHITEHAVEN GOC

In accordance with the requirements of the RR a *Form and Way: Rehabilitation Management Plan for Large Mines* (RR, 2021a) and a *Final Landform and Rehabilitation Plan* has been prepared to show the proposed final land use and final landform for Gunnedah CHPP (refer Figure 6 Final Landform and Rehabilitation Plan 1 and Figure 7 Final Landform and Rehabilitation Plan 2).



VEN	
MGA Zone 56	Author: Harry Mills
05/06/2024	Image: ArcGIS Imager

Land Use & Landform




EHA	VEN	
m:	MGA Zone 56	Author: Harry Mills
	05/06/2024	Image: ArcGIS Imagery

Mining Domains



6 REHABILITATION IMPLEMENTATION

6.1 LIFE OF MINE REHABILITATION SCHEDULE

Progressive rehabilitation is limited to;

- Maintaining laydown yards
- Removal of redundant infrastructure
- Maintaining topsoil stockpiles
- Weed control.
- Improvement of site drainage
- Closure planning and transfer of infrastructure assets.

6.1.1 INFRASTRUCTURE

Future planned infrastructure is a Raw Water Dam that will be located just above the Main Storage Dam to hold raw water from river and bore extraction.

On cessation of operations currently planned for October 2026. The following activities will be undertaken. Infrastructure decommissioned and dismantled to prepare land for rehabilitation including removal of built infrastructure, foundation and hardstand materials, services, equipment and materials including wastes and contamination.

The indicative timeline of rehabilitation and decommissioning activities are shown in Table 15 and further details are provided in Section 6.2.

2026	2027
 Disconnection and termination of services (e.g., water and electricity). Removal of piping and pumping infrastructure 	 Dismantle and removal of infrastructure that is to be utilised on other operational sites e.g., coal processing facility
2028	Ongoing
 Decommissioning of any residual infrastructure not being retained, and on- site remediation of any remaining contaminated areas. 	 Decommissioning and rehabilitation of water infrastructures that are not being retained as part of the final landform
 Decommissioning of internal access roads/tracks that are no longer required. 	
 Transfer of rail loop back to GSC 	
Removal of site offices and training center	

Table 15	Indicative	Timeline of	Rehabilitation	and Decom	nmissioning	Infrastructure
----------	------------	-------------	----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------



WHITEHAVEN GOC

After rehabilitation criteria has been achieved

 Removal of all environmental monitoring stations including three site piezometers in accordance with the requirements of Water Bores in Australia (2020),

6.1.2 MINING ACTIVITIES

Coal processing and transport activities are scheduled to cease in October 2026. Processing of coal will cease a few months prior to allow for all remaining product to be shipped off site.

6.1.3 REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES

Rehabilitation activities to be undertaken and indicative timeline is given in Table 16.

2026	2027
 Undertake initial contamination testing Shipping of any remaining coal products 	 Desilting all sedimentation dams Decontamination of the rail loop Removal of all carbonaceous material form rail loop settling and sedimentation ponds. Transfer of rail loop back to GSC
2028	Ongoing
 Removal of all remaining carbonaceous material from site Backfilling all reject settling ponds, sedimentation dams and water storage dams Decommissioning of internal access roads/tracks that are no longer required. Final contamination testing after stie clean up and removal of all carbonaceous material. Final landform is safe stable, and non-polluting. Rehabilitation to Ecosystem and land use Establishment established across all relevant disturbed areas of the site. 	 Ongoing rehabilitation, monitoring and management. Decommissioning and rehabilitation of water infrastructures that are not being retained as part of the final landform Transfer of property to post closure landholder

Table 16 Indicative Timeline of Rehabilitation and Decommissioning



6.2 PHASES OF REHABILITATION AND GENERAL METHODOLOGIES

WHITEHAVEN GOC

Achievement of a safe and stable landform that is commensurate with the surrounding topography will be demonstrated through the implementation of a series of conceptual rehabilitation phases. As defined by the *Form and way: Rehabilitation Management Plan (large mines)* the rehabilitation phases are presented in Table 17.

Rehabilitation Phase	Description		
Phase 1: Active Mining	This phase is associated with active operations across the domains.		
Phase 2: Decommissioning	This phase of rehabilitation includes activities associated with the removal of mining infrastructure, unless agreed to be retained, and the removal, remediation or management of contaminated and hazardous materials.		
Phase 3: Landform Establishment	This phase of rehabilitation consists of the processes and activities required to construct the approved final landform.		
	In addition to profiling the surface of rehabilitation areas to the approved final landform profile this phase may include works to construct surface water drainage features, and prepare a substrate with the desired physical and chemical characteristics (that is, rock raking or ameliorating sodic materials).		
Phase 4: Growth Medium Development	This phase of rehabilitation consists of activities required to establish the physical, chemical and biological components of the substrate required to establish the desired vegetation community (including short-lived pioneer species) to ensure achievement of the approved or, if not yet approved, the proposed:		
	- rehabilitation objectives		
	- rehabilitation completion criteria		
	- final landform and rehabilitation plan.		
	This phase may include spreading the prepared landform with topsoil and/or subsoil and/or soil substitutes, applying soil ameliorants to enhance the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the growth media, and actions to minimise loss of growth media due to erosion.		
Phase 5: Ecosystem and Land Use Establishment	This phase of rehabilitation consists of the processes to establish the final land use following construction of the final landform.		
	For vegetated land uses this rehabilitation phase includes establishing the desired vegetation community and implementing land management activities such as weed control.		
Phase 6: Ecosystem and Land Use Development	This phase of rehabilitation consists of the activities to manage maturing rehabilitation areas on a trajectory to achieving the approved or, if not yet approved, the proposed:		
	- rehabilitation objectives		
	- rehabilitation completion criteria		
	- Tinal landform and rehabilitation plan.		
	characteristics of functional self-sustaining ecosystems, such as nutrient recycling, vegetation flowering and reproduction, and increasing habitat complexity, and development of a productive, self-sustaining soil profile. This phase of rehabilitation may include specific vegetation management		

Table 17 Rehabilitation Phases



Rehabilitation Phase	Description
	strategies and maintenance such as tree thinning, supplementary plantings and weed management.
Phase 7: Rehabilitation Completion (sign-off)	The final phase of rehabilitation when a rehabilitation area has achieved the final land use for the mining area:
	 as stated in the approved rehabilitation objectives and the approved rehabilitation completion criteria
	 as spatially depicted in the approved final landform and rehabilitation plan.
	Rehabilitation areas may be classified as complete when DPE has determined in writing that rehabilitation has achieved the final land use following submission of the relevant application by the lease holder.

6.2.1 ACTIVE MINING PHASE

Coal processing and transport activities are scheduled to cease in October 2026. Processing of coal will cease a few months prior to allow for all remaining product to be shipped off site

Appropriate measures and strategies implemented during the active phase of mining to enhance rehabilitation outcomes. Works in this phase are summarised below.

a. Waste Management

All waste is appropriately sorted and removed from site through dedicated waste contractor. Redundant equipment in the laydown areas has mostly been removed, with ongoing clean-up of used IBC.

b. Geology and Geochemistry

The CHPP surface has not been disturbed more than 8m below surface into subsoils for dams and reclaim tunnel. No hard rock has been mined or dug into, there are no waste stockpiles or substrate requiring geochemical classification.

c. Material Prone to Spontaneous Combustion

Settled Reject in the settling ponds (drying cells) have a small risk of Spontaneous combustion. There is no history of spontaneous combustion a the CHPP. Post cessation of operations all carbonaceous material will be removed from site. There will be no in-situ disposal on site.

d. Material Prone to Generating Acid Mine Drainge

There is no acid producing rock on site. Disturbance has been limited to subsoils

e. Ore Beneficiation Waste Management (Reject and fine Reject Disposal)

There are a number of fine reject settlement ponds on site in two locations. Within the rail loop and east of the processing facility. All fine reject and reject material will be transported off site. There will be no remaining reject facilities or impoundments on site post rehabilitation.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

f. Erosion and Sediment Control

Key sources of erosion and sedimentation are generally related to surface water runoff from exposed surfaces, including cleared areas, stockpiles (coal, soil) and unsealed roads, and to a lesser degree caused by wind erosion from reject facilities.

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) at Gunnedah CHPP is managed in accordance with the Water Management Plan (including an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan), relevant regulatory requirements and the guideline document.

g. Ongoing Management of Biological Resources for Use in Rehabilitation

Biological resources include topsoil stockpiles what are bunded, signposted and treated for weeds as required. Local providence seeds are collected and used for rehabilitation. Topsoil is collected and stockpiled form any disturbed areas. Topsoil stockpiles have been sampled for determination of suitability for rehabilitation to pasture. Gypsum and fertilizers (Manure) will be applied as indicated by soil sampling qualities.

h. Mine Subsidence

There are no underground workings or excavations on the site. That could lead to subsidence. Dams and settling ponds that are backfilled will be done in a layered approach and compacted in approximate half metre intervals to reduce settlement, and ensure they are free draining post closure.

i. Management of Potential Cultural and Heritage Issues

There are no identified heritage stie within the operations.

j. Exploration Activities

No exploration activities have been undertaken or are expected to be undertaken.

6.2.2 DECOMMISSIONING

Decommissioning and demolition activities will be planned and documented to ensure that appropriate approvals are in place for the works.

a. Site Security

Site security measures will be implemented during and following the decommissioning process to prevent access by members of the public and secure rehabilitation areas. Site security measures include:

- Locked gates
- Maintenance of existing security fences and signage.

Public safety measures following closure and completion of rehabilitation will be reviewed as part of the relinquishment process. All water dams within the Whitehaven owned properties will be backfilled reducing liability. There will be no remaining high-risk excavation, dams or reject facilities post closure.



a. Infrastructure to be Removed or Demolished

The final remaining site features, services and structures are to be decommissioned and demolished to achieve the final land use are described in Table 18 unless the DPE agrees otherwise.

All demolition work will be carried out in accordance with Australian Standard AS 2601-2001: The Demolition of Structures (or its latest version) and Exploration and Petroleum Drilling and Well Servicing Competencies (DISRD,2015), as applicable.

b. Buildings, structures and fixed plant to be retained

Following mine closure, it is intended to retain a clean water dam and some access roads. It is expected that any retained water infrastructure would be used for ongoing agricultural purposes (e.g., stock watering) following closure. The northern end of the CHPP which includes the access road and road to the training centre are within flood risk area, as such it is proposed that the seal (bitumen) roads remain post closure for site access by future land holder.

The rail infrastructure namely the rail loop is a leased facility and will be transferred back to the owner after clean-up and any decontamination works of all settling and sedimentation dams, and the rail loop.

Site features, services and structures to be retained for future use as part of the final land use are described in Table 18.

Code Mining Domain		Description		
I Infrastructure Area		Sealed Access Roads		
G	Water Management Area	Fresh Water Dam in the Rail Loop		

Table 18 Infrastructure to be retained

The Detailed Mine Closure Planning process conducted over the life of operation, in consultation with DPE, GSC will

- Identify the associated short-term and long-term risks to public safety and the environment from the structures remaining in place, which should identify potential modes of failure
- Address any potential residual risks such as potential for structures to fail
- Engage (where required) a suitably qualified engineer to verify that any risks have been satisfactorily addressed.

c. Management of Carbonaceous/Contaminated Material

a. Carbonaceous Material

All carbonaceous material will be identified and removed from site as per approval conditions.

b. Contaminated Material

A final contamination assessment will be completed. Any areas identified will be appropriately remediated. Validation reports will be sent to Gunnedah Shire Council for Planning Certificates.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

d. Hazardous Materials Management

There will be no hazardous material remaining on site post decommissioning and rehabilitation.

e. Underground Infrastructure

There has been no underground mining. Only underground infrastructure is the ROM reclaim tunnel which will be demolished and removed. Costing for demolition and removal is currently in progress.

6.2.3 LANDFORM ESTABLISHMENT

c. Water Management Infrastructure

Elements such as drainage paths, contour drains, ridgelines, and emplacements are shaped, as much as practical, to implement the approved post mining land use. Water management structures are designed to collect surface runoff from rehabilitation or disturbed areas.

Water management structures that will remain following mine closure is detailed in Figure 6.

d. Final Landform Construction: General Requirements

Final landform will be all areas backfilled to original ground level, works include:

e. Final Landform Construction: Reject Emplacement Areas and Fine Reject Dams

All fine reject settlement and sedimentation dams will be backfilled after all carbonaceous material has been removed.

6.2.4 GROWTH MEDIUM DEVELOPMENT

In the context of this RMP, growth media development encompasses activities to reinstate soils with the initial physical, chemical and biological characteristics required to establish the desired vegetation community.

f. Characterisation

Soils stockpiled and to be used for rehabilitation have undergone preliminary sampling and classification:

- Metals analysed (Boron, copper, Iron, Manganese and Zinc) were low and below contamination guidelines,
- Levels of iron were high ranging between 24,000 and 35,000 mg/kg,
- pH is between 6.5 to 8.1,
- Electrical conductivity, exchangeable calcium and exchangeable sodium are slightly evaluated in a few samples,
- Nutrient levels are good with around 1000mg/kg of total nitrogen, and total phosphorus around 350mg/kg,
- There is very low organic between 1% and 5%.

Based on these results, soil applied during rehabilitation may require addition of gypsum and organic matter in the form of cattle manure.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

g. Topsoil Respreading and Amelioration

After removal of all carbonaceous material and final landform achieved, topsoil will be spread across disturbed areas, these include;

- ROM Pad
- Beneficiation infrastructure areas
- Reject facilities and associated water structures

Soil placement process will include;

- Topsoil will be spread to a nominal depth of 150 millimetres (mm);
- All soils will be lightly ripped prior to seeding. This will be conducted on the contour and will be
 managed to minimise the potential for unsuitable spoil material being ripped up to the surface;
- Fertiliser application will be considered prior to seeding (agricultural rehabilitation only) while the surface is being lightly scarified to create an optimal seed bed.

h. Seed Bed Preparation

Thorough seedbed preparation will be undertaken to ensure optimum establishment and growth of vegetation. All top-dressed areas are to be ripped (after top-dressing) to create a "key" between the soil and the subsoil / capping.

For seedings areas ripping will be undertaken along the contour, where possible and immediately prior to sowing. The spread top-dressed surface is then scarified to incorporate any ameliorant applied and scarified after spreading seed to ensure soil contact with seed.

6.2.5 ECOSYSTEM AND LAND USE ESTABLISHMENT

In the context of this RMP, ecosystem and land use establishment included activities to establish the desired floristic composition (species diversity and density) and habitat features.

The phase incorporated management actions such as weed and feral pest control to achieve species establishment and growth to juvenile communities, and habitat augmentation.

Revegetation activities were planned to occur after the completion of reshaping, topdressing with growth media and construction of drainage structures.

i. Domain B – Agricultural – Grazing

Following the re-creation of the final landform a pasture mix will be sown by conventional agricultural methods i.e., preparation of surface to form seed bed, broadcast seeding and scarification. This sequence was implemented to stabilise the landform and return the land to agricultural productivity. The pasture species applied were appropriate for the season and generally included annual and perennial grass species and legumes as identified in Table 19.

Table 19 Recommended Pasture Species Seed Mix

Pasture Species	Rate (kg/ha)	Ameliorant		
Warm Season Grasses				
Bombatsi Panic	1 – 2	Gypsum Composted		
Green Panic 1	2 – 4	Cypean competed		



Pasture Species	Rate (kg/ha)	Ameliorant	
Purple Pigeon Grass	1 – 2	Manure	
Annual Legumes			
Subterranean Legume	4 - 5	-	
Cool Season Legumes			
Barrel (Sephi) medic	2 – 4	-	
Snail (sava) medic	3 – 5	-	
Woolly Pod Vetch	4 – 6	-	
Serradella (Elgara)	1 – 2	-	
Lucerne	0.5	-	
Cool Season Grasses			
Phalaris (Sirolan or Holdfast)	1 - 2	-	
Wallaby Grass	0.3 - 1	-	

j. Weed and Vertebrate Pest Control

Ecosystem Establishment includes initial management actions to limit the introduction of weeds and vertebrate pest species in rehabilitation areas. Ongoing weed and pest management and monitoring is considered in the ecosystem and land use development phase.

Management measures include:

- Treatment of weeds on topsoil stockpiles prior to re-spreading in rehabilitation areas;
- Ensuring all plant and equipment are weed free prior to mobilisation to rehabilitation areas;
- Maximising the retention of ground cover (cover crop stubble) when planting tubestock to minimise opportunities for weed activity; and
- Installation of fauna exclusion fencing and/or tree guards for newly planted tubestock where
 predation by grazing herbivores represents a risk to establishment.
- If required, an agronomist will be engaged to provide recommendations for priority weeds

6.2.6 ECOSYSTEM AND LAND USE DEVELOPMENT

For the purposes of this RMP the Ecosystem and Land Use Development phase represents those activities required to develop sustainable ecosystems that have characteristics comparable to similar undisturbed vegetation associations (analogue site) in the area.

k. All Domains

Activities associated with the ecosystem and land use development phase of rehabilitation are generally ongoing maintenance and land management activities and rehabilitation monitoring. Maintenance at rehabilitated areas will include, but not be limited to:

- ongoing environmental management to minimise risks to rehabilitation;
- ongoing visual observations of weeds and feral animal activity including inspections for all the nominated vertebrate pests
- comparing specific ecosystem characteristics such as soil profile development, floristic composition and structure and faunal diversity and abundance with the characteristics of appropriate analogue sites; and



 undertaking adaptive management and remedial works where characteristics of the rehabilitation are not trending toward desired outcomes.

Rehabilitation monitoring will be undertaken throughout the ecosystem and land use development phase until it can be demonstrated that rehabilitation areas have met all conditions for relinquishment. Rehabilitation maintenance activities will be identified by rehabilitation monitoring and ongoing requirements will be reported annually in the Annual Rehabilitation Report and Forward Program.

6.2.7 REHABILITATION COMPLETION (SIGN-OFF)

On achievement of rehabilitation criteria outlined in Section 4, sign -off will be sought from the DPHI.

6.3 REHABILITATION OF AREAS AFFECTED BY SUBSIDENCE

WHITEHAVEN GOC

There has been no mining or underground mining on the project area or risk of subsidence.



WHITEHAVEN GOC

7 REHABILITATION QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS

A Rehabilitation Quality Assurance Process (RQAP) is been developed, in line with what is currently used at other Whitehaven Coal mining areas that comprise the Gunnedah Open Cut Operations. This will include details of inspections, monitoring and record keeping which will be required to ensure that:

- Rehabilitation was implemented in accordance with the nominated methodologies; and
- Identified risks to rehabilitation are being adequately addressed and closed out for relinquishment.

Whitehaven will implement the RQAP through the detailed closure process to confirm that the rehabilitation strategies outlined in this RMP have been completed in accordance with the nominated methodologies (see Section 6.2). The RQAP will also include inspections and documentation to verify that each phase of demolition and rehabilitation has been completed and has met the completion criteria detailed in Section 4. Documentation to be maintained would include (but not limited to):

Phase 1 – Active Operations

- Maintain an asset register
- Annual survey of reject quantities
- Topsoil stockpile management
- Detailed plans and designs of infrastructure and water management structures

Phase 2 – Decommissioning

- Inspection and demolition reports to confirm all infrastructure to be demolished has been removed; and
- Validation testing to ensure any contamination has been appropriately remediated and/or removed.

Phase 3 – Landform Establishment

- Survey and preparation of as constructed drawings of final constructed slopes, landforms and water drainage structures; and
- Verification reporting to confirm the specified depth of capping has been implemented (i.e., aerial surveys).

Phase 4 – Growth Medium Development

- Maintenance of a topsoil inventory to document stripped, stockpiled and re-spread resources;
- Site records of re-spread topsoil, ameliorants, fertiliser etc.; and
- Soil testing results to confirm appropriate soil geochemical parameters for plant establishment.

Phase 5 – Ecosystem and Land Use Establishment

- Documentation of reseeding or planting activities undertaken, such as date of planting, seeding rates and/or planting rates; and
- Site inspections and monitoring of rehabilitated areas to allow early identification of any emerging threats to rehabilitation.
- Inspections of temporary and permanent erosion and sediment controls;



- Inspections to identify potential weed infestations;
- Documentation of Rehabilitation Monitoring

Phase 6 – Ecosystem and Land Use Development

- Inspections of temporary and permanent erosion and sediment controls;
- Inspections to identify potential weed infestations;
- Documentation of Rehabilitation Monitoring; and
- Documentation of weed and feral animal management and eradication programs and follow-up inspections.

Whitehaven have developed a Rehabilitation Quality Checklist to be signed off after each phase of rehabilitation prior to proceeding to the next phase (refer Figure 8).





Figure 8 - Rehabilitation Quality Assurance Process



WHITEHAVEN GOC

8 REHABILITATION MONITORING PROGRAM

Rehabilitation monitoring is undertaken to measure and assess rehabilitation performance against the stated rehabilitation and closure criteria outlined in this document. The monitoring results are also used to identify the need for corrective actions for rehabilitation performance. The monitoring program incorporates the most appropriate indicators and methods that:

- Provide a measure of completion criteria to be assessed in accordance with the defined rehabilitation objectives;
- Adequately track changes to rehabilitation phases;
- Are reproducible;
- Utilise scientific recognised techniques; and
- Are cost-effective.

Monitoring is conducted by a suitably skilled and qualified person(s) at locations representative of the range of conditions on the rehabilitating areas and appropriate analogue sites. Monitoring results will inform refinements of rehabilitation methodology as required. Rehabilitation monitoring will be continued until it can be demonstrated that rehabilitation has satisfied all rehabilitation and closure criteria. Monitoring and aftercare program will continue on land parcels that are part of the project approval area but not owned by Whitehaven Coal in consultation as to timing with the landowner until closure criteria has been met.

8.1 ANALOGUE SITE BASELINE MONITORING

Analogue sites in 'best-on-offer' vegetation are used to determine if the appropriate characteristics are developing or being achieved. For benchmarking purposes, there are replicate sites within each vegetation community target, and repeat monitoring to capture seasonal variation. Protocols have been established to ensure that sites are appropriately located and position, as detailed in the Whitehaven Standard Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring Methodology (WHC-STD-OC-Rehabilitation Monitoring Method).

8.2 REHABILITATION ESTABLISHMENT MONITORING

Annual Rehabilitation monitoring is undertaken each year as sites are established in rehabilitation that has been seeded since the previous monitoring event. Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring of the newly established sites will identify issues and success within developing rehab.

Annual Rehabilitation monitoring results will link with the TARP management system in Section 10 if issues are identified during the monitoring period.

The data yielded from the monitoring program allows an adaptive management approach by providing information to inform the type and implementation of management activities and determining the status of rehabilitation performance in relation to completion criteria. This facilitates the continual improvement and refinement of rehabilitation techniques.

8.3 <u>MEASURING PERFORMANCE AGAINST REHABILITATION OBJECTIVES AND</u> <u>REHABILITATION COMPLETION CRITERIA</u>

Annual Rehabilitation Walk Over Inspections



WHITEHAVEN GOC

- Annual walk-through of all rehabilitated areas is undertaken internally by a suitably qualified person(s) in Spring to assess the general progress of completed rehabilitation and to identify areas where corrective action is necessary. This assessment has simple objectives relating to vegetation establishment, weeds, erosion presence, surface water management and erosion and sediment control structures.
- Any issue identified during the walk over will be recorded and the Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan which is updated to include remediation or monitoring activities on the issues.

8.4 ANNUAL REHABILITATION MONITORING

- Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring is undertaken during spring each year when species are generally flowering, and more species diversity can be identified. Monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the Whitehaven Standard Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring Methodology (WHC-STD-OC-Rehabilitation Monitoring Method).
- The monitoring provides detailed (transect-based) scientific data and trends on vegetation community establishment and development and is based on the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology (BAM).
- Additional monitoring sites are established as rehabilitation progress. Periodic or standalone monitoring projects are commissioned as required, and may include targeted fauna, soil, and trial studies.
- Detailed analysis of the monitoring data generated by the annual rehabilitation monitoring program is undertaken to determine the trajectory rehabilitation is tracking towards to achieve the final land uses detailed above. The analysis and monitoring outcomes are documented in annual monitoring reports.
- Regular visual inspections of all phases of rehabilitation are also undertaken by WHC personnel. These informal assessments facilitate early management intervention, and include:
- Success of initial germination after seeding;
- Success of tree and shrub plantings;
- Adequacy of drainage controls;
- Presence/absence of weeds; and
- General stability of the rehabilitation site.
- Any issue identified during rehabilitation inspection and documented in the annual rehabilitation monitoring report is actioned in the Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan.

8.5 REHABILITATION PERFORMANCE

Outcomes of monitoring results as described in Section 8.1 to 8.2 are incorporated within the *Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan* which is developed every year by the end of June to align with the budget period. The *Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan* provides additional specific detail, maps and data on planned rehabilitation/closure activities and schedules for the next 12-month period. Notwithstanding this, planned activities are consistent with those in the Forward Program/LOM Plans. The *Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan* will provision for rehabilitation activities depending on the phase of rehabilitation at a particular area. The *Annual Site Rehabilitation Plan* will be the key document for tracking the progress of rehabilitation through rehabilitation phases.



9 REHABILITATION RESEARCH, MODELLING AND TRIALS

There is currently no rehabilitation trial planned for the Gunnedah CHPP operations.

Across Gunnedah opencut mines and operations there are a number of rehabilitations trials currently been undertaken. These include a seed coating trial at Sunnyside mine, seed germination trial on topsoil stockpile at Rocglen mine, and a research project focusing on best methods to get native grasses established in areas where sub-tropical grasses tend to dominate.

10 INTERVENTION AND ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Where rehabilitation performance is not trending toward the nominated completion criteria this may indicate that there is a potential threat to long term rehabilitation success. Threats to rehabilitation may include events such as extended periods of drought, bushfire events, or pressures from weeds and feral/pest animals.

A Rehabilitation and Closure TARP has been developed to provide a framework to manage potential key risks to rehabilitation during the mine closure and has been developed based on rehabilitation and closure risks. The Rehabilitation and Closure TARP includes:

- Identification of the principal contributing factors and impacts for each major risk to rehabilitation;
- Identification of upper limits (trigger values) for causes and impacts that are considered to represent an unacceptable level of risk; and
- Identification of appropriate responses to mitigate or remediate the causes and impacts, including a notification protocol.

The Rehabilitation and Closure TARP provides management responses for lower (first tier) and upper (second tier) trigger values. First tier trigger values identify opportunities for closer monitoring or early intervention that may mitigate potential impacts before notable impact to rehabilitation occurs. Second 60 tier trigger values identify when indicators have reached a threshold that requires more substantive or widespread remedial actions to remediate or mitigate rehabilitation failure.

Should any trigger conditions be met resulting in the requirement for intervention or adaptive management, actions will be reported in the Annual Review. Whitehaven will notify the Resource Regulator and other relevant stakeholders of any incident (such as bushfire or disease) that results in major impacts to rehabilitation that are likely to significantly impact the potential to achieve rehabilitation success.

The Rehabilitation and Closure TARP is provided in **Table 20** and **Table 21** and will be revised as conditions change or new risks to rehabilitation are identified.

WHITEHAVEN		Document Owner:	Env Advisor
	WHITEHAVEN GOC	Document Approver:	Env Superintendent
		Revision Period:	3 years
		Issue:	2
		Last Revision Date:	October 2024
WHC-PLN-O	C-CHPP-REHABILITATION	MANAGEM	ENT PLAN

Table 20 Trigger Action Response Plan

Aspect/ Category	Key Element	Element Number	Trigger Response	1st Level Trigger	2nd Level Trigger
Landform stability	Slope gradient Erosion control	1	Trigger	Monthly survey data indicates that the landform is not built to the final landform design.	<55% of the rehabilitation area has slopes within the limits stipulated in the final the RMP.
			Response	Check machinery guidance system on dozers and update if required Check site datum and update if required. Undertake regrading as required	Undertake a review of the landform design and make an assessment of the stability of the landform including material characterisation. Undertake stability enhancement works including revegetation if required. Consider regrading to achieve stability.
		2	Trigger	Minor gully or tunnel erosion present and/or minor rilling (rilling up to 200 mm indepth or width).	Slumping and / or active gully or tunnel erosion present and / or rilling >200mm, which is compromising landform stability.
			Response	An inspection of the site will be undertakenby a suitably experienced person. Investigate opportunities to install water management infrastructure to address erosion. Remediate as appropriate.	Engage suitably qualified person(s) to assist with the management of erosion and sedimentation at the site and provide recommendations to appropriately remediate the erosion. Remediate as soonas practicable.
	Water management Structures	3	Trigger	Water management structures (sediment dams, channels, contour banks) minor erosion and/or scouring as determined bymonitoring.	Water management structures fail or display significant scouring / erosion asdetermined by monitoring.
			Response	An inspection of the site will be undertakenby a suitably trained person. Identify remedial actions such as amelioration, re- vegetation or alternative scour protection	Engage a suitably qualified person to develop a site-specific remediation plan and review water management structure design criteria. Provide for physical works on the basis of design review.
Soil/spoil Quality	Salinity	4	Trigger	Increasing trend in soil/water salinity levels	Presence of salt scalds
			Response	Undertake soil/spoil testing to verify EC and recommend further soil / spoil amelioration	Engage a specialist consultant suitably qualified person to develop a site-specific management report to be

WHITEHAVEN

Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

Aspect/ Category	Key Element	Element Number	Trigger Response	1st Level Trigger	2nd Level Trigger
					implemented to remediate salinity scalds. Undertake worksas required.
	Spoil surface layers chemical characteristics	5	Trigger	Increasing trend in soil dispersivity (EAT)	Soils are moderately to highly dispersive
			Response	Undertake testing to determine required amelioration and undertake amelioration as required.	Review material handling practices to confirm that non-dispersive spoil is selectively dumped at final RL where possible and /or dispersive spoils emplaced at surface are appropriately ameliorated.
					Ameliorate dispersive spoils (for examplewith coarse gypsum) to a depth of 300 mm.
					Re-vegetate if required.
	Soil biophysical and chemical characteristics	6	Trigger	Soil biophysical and chemical characteristics not able to sustain vegetation growth for required vegetation community	Soil physical, chemical and biological characteristics continues to illustrate signs of not able to sustain the desired final land use.
			Response	Engage a consultant to recommend appropriate soil/spoil amelioration. Undertake amelioration and re- vegetation in accordance with the consultant recommendations.	Engage a consultant to recommend appropriate soil/spoil amelioration. Undertake amelioration and re- vegetation in accordance with the consultant recommendations.
	Topsoil depth	7	Trigger	Topsoil is not reinstated to, at least, the minimum depth specified for the proposed final land use. As identified in the quality assurance process	Sufficient suitable topsoil cannot be identified for reinstatement at the minimum specified depth for the proposed final land use i.e., 150 mm to 200 mm (agriculture areas), 200 mm (other disturbance areas).
			Response	Spread additional topsoil to achieve required depth	Engage a consultant to recommend appropriate soil/spoil amelioration. Undertake amelioration and re- vegetation in accordance with the consultant recommendations.
Biodiversity (native vegetation areas)	Native Species Richness	8	Trigger	Less than 50% of species sown recorded.	Less than 25% of species sown recorded.
			Response	Undertake a field survey to identify which species do not present in revegetation areas.	Undertake a field survey to identify which species do not present in revegetation areas.

		Document Owner:	Env Advisor
		Document	Env Superintendent
		Approver:	
	WHITEHAVEN GOC	Revision Period:	3 years
WHITEHAVEN		Issue:	2
	-	Last Revision	October 2024
		Date:	

Aspect/ Category	Key Element	Element Number	Trigger Response	1st Level Trigger	2nd Level Trigger
				Re-seed or maintenance planting of revegetation areas with unsatisfactory species richness. Review viability results and modify seed species as required. Use annual rehabilitation monitoring data to track progress	Engage an independent specialist to review seed viability and others causes for revegetation failure and recommend remedial actions. Implement appropriate management actions including revising rehabilitation procedures if required.
	Native Groundcover	9	Trigger	Less than 50% of groundcover species sown recorded.	Less than 25% of groundcover speciessown recorded.
			Response	Undertake a field survey to identify likely causes of unsatisfactory germination rates. Re-seed areas with unsatisfactory cover.	Undertake a field survey to identify which species do not present in revegetation areas.
				Review seeding procedures incl. seasonal mixes, timing and seed rate per hectare.	review seed viability and others causes for revegetation failure and recommend remedial actions.
					Implement appropriate management actions including revising rehabilitation procedures if required.
	Exotic Plant Cover(Weeds)	10	Trigger	Increasing number and cover of exotic species and/or occurrence of newly identified exotic species.	More than 10% of domain area and/or significant weed invasions.
			Response	Engage weed management contractor to remove / spray introduced weed species.	Engage weed management contractor toremove introduced weed species.
					Investigate management measures to improve native plant establishment and weed suppression.
					Implement recommendations as appropriate.
Water Quality	Water quality	11	Trigger	Water quality exceeds baseline values	Long term trend the Water Quality Guidelines (2018) limits values
			Response	Review and investigation of water quality monitoring and management where appropriate. Implement relevant remedialmeasures where required.	Specialist to review sampling and climate data and review likely cause(s). If mine related, undertake assessment to identify sources of water quality degradation and recommend remedial actions

•
4
WHITEHAVEN

Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

Aspect/ Category	Key Element	Element Number	Trigger Response	1st Level Trigger	2nd Level Trigger
					Implement specialist recommendations
	Discharge water qualityat licence discharge points	12	Trigger	Sediment basin discharge exceeds EPL criteria for pH, TSS and/or oil/grease	Long term trend outside the Water Quality Guidelines (2018) limits
			Response	Re-sampling will be undertaken during thenext discharge event to confirm results exceed limits and investigate potential causes.	Review sediment basin maintenance and discharge procedures, and sediment basincapacity requirements. Undertake required corrective actions.
			Response	Undertake a review of the landform design to assess risks to stability and free draining design.	Engage a specialist to assist with the management of settlement and slumping and provide recommendations to appropriately remediate. Consider rehandling material and/or regrading if required.
	Monitoring of final landform	14	Trigger	Survey or remote sensing of the rehabilitated landforms indicates settlement or slumping that could compromise stability.	Survey or remote sensing of the rehabilitated landforms indicates major settlement or slumping.
			Response	Undertake a review of the landform design to assess risks to stability and free draining design.	Engage a specialist to assist with the management of settlement and slumping and provide recommendations to appropriately remediate. Consider rehandling material and/or regrading if required.

Table 21 Triggers and Actions for rehabilitation completion criteria.

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area –	Infrastructure	All surface infrastructure has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved otherwise by the Secretary	All utility infrastructure removed.	Post decommissioning audit or site staff finds remaining infrastructure	Asset register will be reviewed and infrastructure removed using demolition services as required.
Pasture)			Infrastructure removed.	Post decommissioning audit or site staff finds remaining infrastructure	Asset register will be reviewed and infrastructure removed using demolition services as required.

-	
WHITE	HAVEN

Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision	October 2024
Date:	

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
			All concrete footings, foundations and pavements have been removed to avoid exposure pathways to subsequent final land use.	Post decommissioning audit or site staff finds remaining infrastructure0	Asset register will be reviewed and infrastructure removed using demolition services as required.
Infrastructure	Infrastructure	Retention of infrastructure (if applicable): All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe and does not	Hazards isolated and secured, infrastructure meets building codes etc	Statement provided by suitably qualified engineer indicates deficiencies in infrastructure.	Repairs will be carried out and signed off by engineer
		pose any hazard to the community and is approved by the Secretary for retention.	Access tracks in good condition.	Erosion or damage to access tracks is noted during monthly inspection or review of UAV aerial data.	Investigate the cause of damage, ensure damage does not reoccur and remediate.
			Approval in place	Approval from the Secretary not received	Infrastructure will be decommissioned and removed.
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture) And Industrial	Infrastructure	All hazardous and contaminated materials are appropriately removed or remediated in accordance with the recommendations of a contamination site assessment.	There are no visible signs of contamination following the removal of plant, equipment, and materials. All rubbish/ waste materials have been removed from site.	Waste or redundant equipment found to be on site post rehab	Site audit will be undertaken to determine extent of any waste or redundant equipment. Program of removal will be put in place.
			Contamination has been appropriately remediated, removed or managed so that appropriate guidelines for land use are met, e.g., Health Investigation Level (HIL) or Ecological Investigation Level (EIL) of the NEPM (1999).	Site Contamination Audit Report indicates remaining contamination	Additional contamination testing will be undertaken to determine extent and appropriate remediation undertaken. Follow up site contamination study and audit report will be commissioned.
			Any carbonaceous material has been removed from the footprint of the infrastructure areas transported off stie to approved location.	Carbonaceous material found on site post rehabilitation by final site inspection or contamination audit inspection.	Depth and extent of carbonaceous material will be investigated and removed off site and area rehabilitated.
Water management	Water management	All water management infrastructure has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved otherwise by the Secretary	Infrastructure removed.	Post decommissioning audit or site staff finds remaining infrastructure	Asset register will be reviewed and infrastructure removed using demolition services as required.

WHITEHAVEN GOC

Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision	October 2024
Date:	

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
Water management Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	Water management	Domain stable, limited groundwater seepage and non-polluting	Sediment accumulated in mine water and sediment dams is removed and taken off site if containing carbonaceous material, supported by records.	Site Contamination Audit Report indicates remaining contamination	Additional contamination testing will be undertaken to determine extent and appropriate remediation undertaken. Follow up Site Contamination study and audit report will be commissioned.
All domains	All domains	All surface infrastructure, plant and equipment has been decommissioned and removed, unless approved otherwise by the Secretary	Infrastructure and equipment (including mobile equipment) removed	Post decommissioning audit or site staff finds remaining infrastructure	Asset register will be reviewed and infrastructure removed using demolition services as required.
All domains	All domains	Stable and permanent landform established	Survey verifies final landform are free draining integrated into surrounding landscape.	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
			Survey or remote sensing of the rehabilitated landforms shows an absence of slumping that could compromise stability.	Slumping noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of slumping will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
		Final Landform non-polluting	Water quality measured at discharge points and downstream monitoring locations meets guidelines, no impact between received run-on and water leaving site.	Quarterly surface water sampling indicates potential degradation of water quality leaving site	Cause of deterioration water quality will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
		Final landform blends with the surrounding topography	Visual assessments and as constructed survey verifies the regraded landform blends with the surrounding topography	As constructed survey indicates landform not in line with design.	Survey results and design will be reviewed and landform amended to be in line with final landform design.
Water Management Area	Water Management Area	Domain stable and non-polluting	No pooling of water over site following rainfall	Visual monthly inspection or aerial imaging indicates low areas where water is ponding.	Depressed areas will be filled with a suitable growth medium and made free draining.
			Monitoring indicated that surface water complies with the Water Quality Guidelines (2018) trigger value for livestock (cattle) or analogue monitoring site	Quarterly surface water sampling indicates potential degradation of water quality leaving site	Cause of deterioration water quality will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
		Water Approvals	Site water capture of runoff water is less than 10% of total runoff	Only the Fresh Water Dam will remain post closure and will be on Gunnedah Shire Council owned parcel of land.	Water structures impacting harvestable rights will be removed and remediated.
				harvestable right will be undertaken to confirm compliance.	

=	A	
WHITEHAVEN	WHITEH	VEN

Document	Env Advisor			
Owner:				
Document	Env Superintendent			
Approver:				
Revision Period:	3 years			
Issue:	2			
Last Revision	October 2024			
Date:				

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
		Structures that take or divert water such as final voids (there is no final void), dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g., under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.			
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area –	All domains	Growth media is appropriate to support the final land use	Rehabilitation records verify that rehabilitation areas topsoiled with a nominal depth of 150 mm, where available.	Test pitting and as constructed surveys indicate soil depth is less then 150mm.	Additional growth medium will be placed and resurveyed to assure compliance.
Pasture)			Monitoring verifies soil characteristics such as pH, Electrical Conductivity, Exchangeable sodium percentage, nitrogen and phosphorous are in the range of analogue sites or do not appear to be limiting plant performance.	Soil sampling as part of annual monitoring indicates soil characteristics are deteriorating.	Cause of worsening quality will be investigating and additional ameliorants applied to rectify the situation. Use of soil specialist may be required.
			Soil ameliorants (e.g., gypsum, mulch, biosolids, composts) are applied where necessary (supported by records).	Audit of records indicate planned ameliorants were not applied.	Ameliorants will be applied as required.
		The rehabilitated area does notrepresent an erosion hazard	Monitoring of water discharged from the Mine complies with guideline levels No identifiable erosion or sedimentation	Quarterly surface water sampling indicates potential degradation of water quality leaving site	Cause of deterioration water quality will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	Weeds and feral animals do not present a risk to rehabilitation.	Number of weed species and abundance average no greater than20% more than that of analogue sites	Quarterly and annual ecological monitoring indicates high weed percentage.	Additional weed treatment will be implemented.
			Monitoring records indicate that feral and pest animal species abundance is nogreater than surrounding lands.	Monthly inspection shows signs of feral animals accessing the site.	Pest control contractor will be used to undertake control activities on site.
		Grazing stock is excluded from rehabilitation areas and enrichment zones prior to relinquishment.	Rehabilitation areas and enrichment zones are fenced to exclude grazing stock (until stable and grazing will not impact upon its establishment).	Fences to be installed around the grazing areas after final rehabilitation and when area is ready for grazing	Fencing has been added to rehabilitation plan and will be implemented accordingly.
		Erosion does not present a safety hazard or compromise the post-mining land capability.	Visual monitoring indicates there is no significant erosion that compromises land capability or the intended final land use.	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
			Monitoring verifies there are no gully or tunnel erosion features, or rills >300 mm deep or wide.	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.



Document Owner:	Env Advisor
Document Approver:	Env Superintendent
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	Bushfire: The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	Bushfire controls implemented.	Quarterly weed and site inspection will include bushfire controls.	Remediation will be undertaken on inspection reports.
Water Management Area	Water Management Area	Domain stable and non-polluting	No identifiable erosion or sedimentation	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
			Monitoring of water discharged from the Mine complies with guideline limits	Quarterly surface water sampling indicates potential degradation of water quality leaving site	Cause of deterioration water quality will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	All domains Re-establishing agricultural land over the areas disturbed by the mine. F Pasture areas will be capable of sustaining grazing with land capability that reflects the pre-mining environment. 9 Y Y Y F G Y Y Y<	Rehabilitated pasture areas have been sown with a mixture of pasture species including fast growing, short- lived species and perennial grasses and legumes.	Pasture areas health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
			Rehabilitation areas comprise a mixture of grasses representative of regionally occurring vegetation where possible. Grasses sown will be selected from appropriate analogue sites.	Seeds sourced from local supplier are found to contain undesirable non-native species.	Audit of suppler and seed undertaken and correct seeds will be sourced.
			Rehabilitation monitoring records verify that ground cover (vegetation, leaf litter, and mulch) is at least 85%. The first monitoring program will be completed within 12 to 18 months of rehabilitation to quantify pasture crop establishment.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
			No bare surfaces >20 m ² in area or >10 m in length down slope as indicated by rehabilitation monitoring at Year 5 following establishment.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
			Rehabilitation monitoring shows that pasture health is comparable to analogue sites.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
All domains	All domains	Weeds are controlled.	Number of weed species and abundance average no greater than 20% more than that of analogue sites	Quarterly and annual ecological monitoring indicates high weed percentage.	Additional weed treatment will be implemented.
All domains	All domains	Feral animal pests are controlled	Monitoring records indicate that feral and pest animal species abundance is nogreater than surrounding lands.	Monthly inspection shows signs of feral animals access the site. Best control contractor used to undertake control contractor activities on site.	

A
WHITEHAVEN

Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision Period:	3 years
Issue:	2
Last Revision	October 2024
Date:	

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
All domains	All domains	Erosion does not present a safety hazard or compromise the post-mining land capability.	There are no gully or tunnel erosion features and there is an absence of rilling (> 300 mm deep) within each domain.	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
		Monitoring demonstrates soil profile development in rehabilitated areas (e.g., development of organic layer, litter layer).	Soil testing indicates that topsoil soil characteristics (pH, EC [electrical conductivity], ESP) generally meet the following criteria: - pH – between 4.5 and 8.5, or is comparable to relevant analogue sites;	Soil sampling as part of annual monitoring indicates soil characteristics are deteriorating.	Cause of worsening quality will be investigating and additional ameliorants applied to rectify the situation. Use of soil specialist may be required.
			- EC - < 1 dS/m, or is comparable to relevant analogue sites; and		
			- ESP – that is comparable to the analogue sites.		
			Where soil testing results indicate values outside the above criteria, rehabilitation monitoring at the relevant area verifies that the soil quality is not inhibiting plant growth.		
			Rehabilitation monitoring records verify that ground cover (vegetation, leaf litter, and mulch) is greater than 85 %.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
Water management areas	Water management areas	Final landform drainage will integrate with surrounding catchments, achieve long- term geomorphic stability and minimise	Discharge water quality meets EPL requirements.	Quarterly surface water sampling indicates potential degradation of water quality leaving site	Cause of deterioration water quality will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
	erosior	erosion.	Water management structures are assessed to be stable	Erosion or rilling noticed in post rehabilitation monthly inspection.	Cause of rilling/erosion will be investigated, and remediation undertaken.
Agricultural – Grazing (Rehabilitation Area – Pasture)	All domains	All domains Pasture areas will be capable of sustaining grazing with land capability that reflects the pre-mining environment	Rehabilitation monitoring verifies that species in pasture rehabilitation areas comprise a mixture of grasses representative of pasture vegetation.	Seeds sourced from local supplier are found to contain undesirable non-native species.	Audit of suppler and seed undertaken and correct seeds will be sourced.
		and to minimise visual impacts	Established species survive and/or regenerate after disturbance	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
			Species are capable of setting viable seed, flowering or otherwise reproducing.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented

A		Document Owner:	Env Advisor
		Document	Env Superintendent
		Approver:	
WHITEHAVEN	WHITEHAVEN GOC	Revision Period:	3 years
		Issue:	2
		Last Revision	October 2024
		Date:	

Final Land Use Domain	Mining Domain	Rehabilitation Objective (describe the desired feature and/or characteristics of the final land use domain)	Rehabilitation Completion Criteria (benchmark for the indicator, based on analogue data where appropriate)	Trigger on Criteria not achieved	Response
			Rehabilitation monitoring verifies that vegetation health is comparable to reference sites.	Vegetation health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented
			Pasture areas are assessed to have a Rural Land Class VI or better (capable of sustaining grazing), consistent with the final landform.	Pasture areas health will be assessed annually as part of ecological survey. If ecological progression trends are noted to be sub optimal.	Action plan from ecologist will be implemented



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision	3 years
Period:	-
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024
_	

11 REVIEW, REVISION AND IMPLEMENTATION

11.1 REVIEW AND REVISION OF THE PLAN

The Plan will be reviewed and if required revised in the event of the following:

- An amendment to the rehabilitation objectives, completion criteria or proposed final land use;
- Changes to risks, risk control measures or rehabilitation strategies being identified during the completion of rehabilitation risk assessment or additional investigations;
- When directed to by the DPE Secretary; and
- When triggered by consent conditions (Environmental Audits, Incident Reports, Modifications).

11.2 IMPLEMENTATION

The process for ensuring that mining and rehabilitation are conducted in accordance with the RMP is the preparation and implementation of an Annual Rehabilitation Plan. The Annual Rehabilitation Plan is prepared and managed by the site Environmental superintendents and approved by the Mine Manager.



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision	3 years
Period:	-
Issue:	2
Last Revision	October 2024
Date:	

ACCOUNTABILITIES

Role	Accountability	
General Manager	Ensure adequate resources are available to the Operations Manager to complete required rehabilitation activities according to the forward plan;	
	Ensure adequate resources are available to enable the Environment Manager/Supt to complete the required monitoring and quality control activities in this plan.	
Manager	Complete rehabilitation activities according to the schedule put forward in the Forward Plan.	
	Ensure adequate resources are made available to monitor and assure the quality during the rehabilitation process.	
Technical Expert	Monitor the progress of the rehabilitation completed against completion criteria and objectives.	
	Monitor and report on any risks to rehabilitation success and communicate those risks effectively.	
	Provide advice to the Operations Manager on all rehabilitation matters.	
All Workers	Complete any rehabilitation activities according to procedures and protocols.	
	Advise the Environmental Supt or delegate of any issues or risks encountered during rehabilitation activities.	

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

The following supporting documentation which includes associated training materials may need to be consulted and, where appropriate, used when applying this Standard and/or any subordinate procedures:

WHC-PRO-GOC-Annual Rehabilitation Planning Process



Document	Env Advisor
Owner:	
Document	Env Superintendent
Approver:	
Revision	3 years
Period:	-
Issue:	2
Last Revision Date:	October 2024

Revisions	Revision Description	Who Consulted	Date
1	Document Developed	Environmental Manager, Ops Mgr, Env Supt, Env Officer	29/7/23
2	Review – risk assessment review and approved ROBJ's added	Env Supt, Env Advisor	03/10/2024

WHITEHAVEN	WHITEHAVEN GOC	Document Owner:	Env Advisor
		Document Approver:	Env Superintendent
		Revision Period:	3 years
		Issue:	2
		Last Revision Date:	October 2024
WHC-PLN-OC-CHPP-REHABILITATION MANAGEMENT PLAN			

Appendix A – Approved Rehabilitation Objectives

Rehabilitation Objective Category	Rehabilitation Objectives	Spatial Reference (e.g. A3)
Removal of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is not to be used as part of the final land use is removed to ensure the site is safe and free of hazardous materials.	В1
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe, does not pose any hazard to the community	B1
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use benefits from the relevant approvals (e.g. Development Consent [DA 0079.2002] and/or licence/lease/binding agreement, etc).	В1
Land contamination	There is no residual soil contamination on site that is incompatible with the final land use or that poses a threat of environmental harm.	B1
Management of waste and process materials	Residual waste materials stored on site (e.g. tailings, coarse rejects and other wastes) will be appropriately contained / encapsulated so it does not pose any hazards or constraints for intended land use	В1
Landform stability	The final landform is stable for the long- term and does not present a risk of environmental harm downstream / downslope of the site or a safety risk to the public/stock/native fauna	B1
Landform stability	Landform that is commensurate with surrounding natural landform and where appropriate, incorporates geomorphic design principles.	B1
Bushfire	The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	B1
Surface water	Runoff water quality from mine site is similar to, or better than the predisturbance runoff water quality.	B1
Water approvals	Structures that take or divert water such as final voids, dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g. under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.	B1

Groundwater	Groundwater quality is similar to, or better than the pre-disturbance groundwater quality.	B1
Groundwater	Groundwater Regime: Impacts to groundwater regime are within range as predicted in pre-mining environmental assessment	В1
Agricultural revegetation	Revegetation is sustainable for the long- term and only requires maintenance that is consistent with the intended final land use.	B1
Agricultural revegetation	Land use capability is capable of supporting the target agricultural land use.	B1
Removal of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is not to be used as part of the final land use is removed to ensure the site is safe and free of hazardous materials.	В3
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe, does not pose any hazard to the community	В3
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use benefits from the relevant approvals (e.g. Development Consent [DA 0079.2002] and/or licence/lease/binding agreement, etc).	В3
Land contamination	There is no residual soil contamination on site that is incompatible with the final land use or that poses a threat of environmental harm.	В3
Management of waste and process materials	Residual waste materials stored on site (e.g. tailings, coarse rejects and other wastes) will be appropriately contained / encapsulated so it does not pose any hazards or constraints for intended land use.	В3
Landform stability	The final landform is stable for the long- term and does not present a risk of environmental harm downstream / downslope of the site or a safety risk to the public/stock/native fauna	В3
Landform stability	Landform that is commensurate with surrounding natural landform and where appropriate, incorporates geomorphic design principles.	В3
Bushfire	The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	В3

Surface water	Runoff water quality from mine site is similar to, or better than the predisturbance runoff water quality.	В3
Water approvals	Structures that take or divert water such as final voids, dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g. under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.	В3
Groundwater	Groundwater quality is similar to, or better than the pre-disturbance groundwater quality.	В3
Groundwater	Groundwater Regime: Impacts to groundwater regime are within range as predicted in pre-mining environmental assessment	В3
Agricultural revegetation	Revegetation is sustainable for the long- term and only requires maintenance that is consistent with the intended final land use.	В3
Agricultural revegetation	Land use capability is capable of supporting the target agricultural land use.	В3
Removal of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is not to be used as part of the final land use is removed to ensure the site is safe and free of hazardous materials.	В7
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe, does not pose any hazard to the community	В7
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use benefits from the relevant approvals (e.g. Development Consent [DA 0079.2002] and/or licence/lease/binding agreement, etc).	Β7
Land contamination	There is no residual soil contamination on site that is incompatible with the final land use or that poses a threat of environmental harm.	В7
Management of waste and process materials	Residual waste materials stored on site (e.g. tailings, coarse rejects and other wastes) will be appropriately contained / encapsulated so it does not pose any hazards or constraints for intended land use.	В7

Landform stability	The final landform is stable for the long- term and does not present a risk of environmental harm downstream / downslope of the site or a safety risk to the public/stock/native fauna	В7
Landform stability	Landform that is commensurate with surrounding natural landform and where appropriate, incorporates geomorphic design principles.	В7
Bushfire	The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	В7
Surface water	Runoff water quality from mine site is similar to, or better than the predisturbance runoff water quality.	В7
Water approvals	Structures that take or divert water such as final voids, dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g. under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.	В7
Groundwater	Groundwater quality is similar to, or better than the pre-disturbance groundwater quality.	В7
Groundwater	Groundwater Regime: Impacts to groundwater regime are within range as predicted in pre-mining environmental assessment	В7
Agricultural revegetation	Revegetation is sustainable for the long- term and only requires maintenance that is consistent with the intended final land use.	В7
Agricultural revegetation	Land use capability is capable of supporting the target agricultural land use.	В7
Removal of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is not to be used as part of the final land use is removed to ensure the site is safe and free of hazardous materials.	G3
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe, does not pose any hazard to the community	G3
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use benefits from the relevant approvals (e.g. Development Consent [DA 0079.2002] and/or licence/lease/binding agreement, etc).	G3

Land contamination	There is no residual soil contamination on site that is incompatible with the final land use or that poses a threat of environmental harm.	G3
Management of waste and process materials	Residual waste materials stored on site (e.g. tailings, coarse rejects and other wastes) will be appropriately contained / encapsulated so it does not pose any hazards or constraints for intended land use.	G3
Landform stability	The final landform is stable for the long- term and does not present a risk of environmental harm downstream / downslope of the site or a safety risk to the public/stock/native fauna	G3
Landform stability	Landform that is commensurate with surrounding natural landform and where appropriate, incorporates geomorphic design principles.	G3
Bushfire	The risk of bushfire and impacts to the community, environment and infrastructure has been addressed as part of rehabilitation.	G3
Surface water	Runoff water quality from mine site is similar to, or better than the predisturbance runoff water quality.	G3
Water Approvals	Structures that take or divert water such as final voids, dams, levees etc. are appropriately licensed (e.g. under the Water Management Act 2000) and where required ensure sufficient licence shares are held in the water source(s) to account for water take.	G3
Groundwater	Groundwater quality is similar to, or better than the pre-disturbance groundwater quality.	G3
Groundwater	Groundwater Regime: Impacts to groundwater regime are within range as predicted in pre-mining environmental assessment	G3
Removal of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is not to be used as part of the final land use is removed to ensure the site is safe and free of hazardous materials.	11
Retention of infrastructure	All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the final land use is safe, does not pose any hazard to the community	11
	All infractory at that is to remain as next	
--	---	----
	All intrastructure that is to remain as part	
	of the final land use benefits from the	
	relevant approvals (e.g. Development	
Retention of infrastructure	Consent [DA 0079.2002] and/or	1
	licence/lease/binding agreement, etc).	
Land contamination	There is no residual soil	
	contamination on site that is	
	incompatible with the final	
	land use or that poses a	11
	threat of environmental	
	harm	
Management of waste and process materials	Residual waste materials	11
	stored on site (o.g. tailings	
	stored off site (e.g. tailings,	
	wastes) will be appropriately	
	contained / encapsulated so	
	it does not pose any hazards	
	or constraints for intended	
	land use.	
Landform stability	The final landform is stable for the long-	11
	term and does not present a risk of	
	environmental harm downstream /	
	downslope of the site or a safety risk to the	
	public/stock/native fauna	
Landform stability	Landform that is commensurate with	
	surrounding natural landform and where	
	appropriate incorporates geometralic	11
	appropriate, incorporates geomorphic	
	design principies.	
Bushfire	The risk of bushfire and	
	impacts to the community,	
	environment and infrastructure has been	11
	addressed as part of rehabilitation.	
	Runoff water quality from mine site is	
Surface water	similar to or better than the	11
Surface water	prodicturbance runoff water quality	11
Water Approvals	Structures that take or divert water such as	
	Structures that take of uivert water such as	
	initial volus, dams, levees etc. are	
	appropriately licensed (e.g. under the	11
	Water Management Act 2000) and where	
	required ensure sufficient licence shares	
	are held in the water source(s) to account	
	for water take.	
	Crewskyster of 1971	
Groundwater	Groundwater quality is	
	similar to, or better than the	11
	pre-disturbance groundwater	_
	quality.	
Groundwater	Groundwater Regime:	
	Impacts to groundwater regime are within	11
	range as predicted in pre-mining	1
	environmental assessment	